A construction of an exact model for S4

Katsumi Sasaki

January 2010

Technical Report of the Nanzan Academic Society Information Sciences and Engineering

A construction of an exact model for S4

Katsumi Sasaki

Faculty of Information Sciences and Engineering, Nanzan University, 27 Seirei-Cho, Seto 489-0863, Japan e-mail: sasaki@nanzan-u.ac.jp.

Abstract. Here, we consider the set \mathbf{F}^n of formulas with modal degree $k(\leq n)$ and having only propositional variables p_1, \dots, p_m in modal logic $\mathbf{S4}$. An exact model M for \mathbf{F}^n is one of the simplest Kripke models satisfying $M \models A \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{S4} \vdash A$ for any $A \in \mathbf{F}^n$. Therefore, the model is useful to investigate the provability of formulas in $\mathbf{S4}$. Moss [Mos07] constructed a Kripke model which can be shown to be exact for \mathbf{F}^n . However, his construction depends on the provability of $\mathbf{S4}$. Here, we construct an exact model for \mathbf{F}^n without using the provability of $\mathbf{S4}$.

1 Introduction

Formulas are constructed from \bot (contradiction) and the propositional variables p_1, p_2, \cdots by using logical connectives \land (conjunction), \lor (disjunction), \supset (implication), and \Box (necessitation). We use upper case Latin letters, A, B, C, \cdots , with or without subscripts, for formulas. Also, we use Greek letters, Γ, Δ, \cdots , with or without subscripts, for finite sets of formulas. The expressions $\Box\Gamma$ and Γ^\Box denote the sets $\{\Box A \mid A \in \Gamma\}$ and $\{\Box A \mid \Box A \in \Gamma\}$, respectively. The depth d(A) of a formula A is defined as

$$d(p_i) = d(\bot) = 0,$$

 $d(B \land C) = d(B \lor C) = d(B \supset C) = \max\{d(B), d(C)\},$
 $d(\Box B) = d(B) + 1.$

Let **ENU** be an enumeration of the formulas. For a non-empty finite set Γ of formulas, the expressions $\bigwedge \Gamma$ and $\bigvee \Gamma$ denote the formulas

$$(\cdots((A_1 \wedge A_2) \wedge A_3) \cdots \wedge A_n)$$
 and $(\cdots((A_1 \vee A_2) \vee A_3) \cdots \vee A_n)$,

respectively, where $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\} = \Gamma$ and A_i occurs earlier than A_{i+1} in **ENU**. Also, the expressions $\bigwedge \emptyset$ and $\bigvee \emptyset$ denote the formulas $\bot \supset \bot$ and \bot , respectively.

The set of propositional variables $p_1, \dots, p_m \ (m \geq 1)$ is denoted by **V** and the set of formulas constructed from **V** and \bot is denoted by **F**. Also, for any $n = 0, 1, \dots$, we define \mathbf{F}^n as $\mathbf{F}^n = \{A \in \mathbf{F} \mid d(A) \leq n\}$. In the present paper, we mainly treat the set \mathbf{F}^n .

By S4, we mean the sequent system defined by Ohnishi and Matsumoto [OM57]. Below, we introduce this system.

A sequent is the expression $(\Gamma \to \Delta)$. We often refer to $\Gamma \to \Delta$ as $(\Gamma \to \Delta)$ for brevity and refer to

$$A_1, \cdots, A_i, \Gamma_1, \cdots, \Gamma_i \to \Delta_1, \cdots, \Delta_k, B_1, \cdots, B_\ell$$

as

$$\{A_1, \cdots, A_i\} \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_i \to \Delta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_k \cup \{B_1, \cdots, B_\ell\}.$$

We use upper case Latin letters X, Y, Z, \cdots , with or without subscripts, for sequents. The antecedent $\operatorname{ant}(\Gamma \to \Delta)$ and the succedent $\operatorname{suc}(\Gamma \to \Delta)$ of a sequent $\Gamma \to \Delta$ are defined as

$$\operatorname{ant}(\Gamma \to \Delta) = \Gamma$$
 and $\operatorname{suc}(\Gamma \to \Delta) = \Delta$,

respectively. Also, for a sequent X and a set S of sequents, we define $\mathbf{for}(X)$ and $\mathbf{for}(S)$ as

$$\mathbf{for}(X) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \bigwedge \mathbf{ant}(X) \supset \bigvee \mathbf{suc}(X) & \text{ if } \mathbf{ant}(X) \neq \emptyset \\ \bigvee \mathbf{suc}(X) & \text{ if } \mathbf{ant}(X) = \emptyset \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\mathbf{for}(\mathcal{S}) = \{\mathbf{for}(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

For a finite set S of formulas or sequents, the expression #(S) denotes the number of elements in S.

[OM57] defined the system by adding the following two inference rules to the sequent system **LK** given by Gentzen [Gen35] for the classical propositional logic:

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \to \Delta}{\Box A, \Gamma \to \Delta} (\Box \to) \qquad \frac{\Box \Gamma \to A}{\Box \Gamma \to \Box A} (\to \Box).$$

Here, we do not use \neg as a primary connective, so we use the additional axiom $\bot \to$ instead of the inference rules $(\neg \to)$ and $(\to \neg)$. We write $X \in \mathbf{S4}$ if X is provable in $\mathbf{S4}$. [OM57] proved that this system enjoys a cut-elimination theorem:

Lemma 1.1 ([OM57]) If $X \in S4$, then there exists a cut-free proof figure for X in S4.

We use $A \equiv B$ instead of $\to (A \supset B) \land (B \supset A) \in \mathbf{S4}$. Also, for any two equivalence classes [A] and [B] in \mathbf{F}/\equiv , we use $[A] \leq [B]$ instead of $A \to B \in \mathbf{S4}$. Thus, structure $\langle \mathbf{F}^n/\equiv, \leq \rangle$ expresses the mutual relation of formulas.

A Kripke model is a structure $\langle W, R, P \rangle$ where W is a non-empty set, R is a binary relation on W, and P is a mapping from the set of propositional variables to 2^W . We extend, as usual, the domain of P to include all formulas. We call P a valuation and a member of W a world. For a Kripke model $M = \langle W, R, P \rangle$, and for a world $\alpha \in W$, we often write $(M, \alpha) \models A$ and $M \models A$ instead of $\alpha \in P(A)$ and P(A) = W, respectively.

Let S be a set of formulas closed under \supset and \land . We say that a Kripke model $M = \langle W, R, P \rangle$ is exact for S if the following two conditions hold:

- for any $A \in S$, $M \models A$ if and only if $\to A \in \mathbf{S4}$,
- $\bullet \ \{P(A) \mid A \in S\} = 2^W.$

This model was introduced in de Bruijn [Bru75]. The following lemma is observed easily; therefore, exact models are useful to investigate the structure $\langle \mathbf{F}^n/\equiv, \leq \rangle$.

Lemma 1.2 Let $\langle W, R, P \rangle$ be an exact model for \mathbf{F}^n . Then the mapping P^* from \mathbf{F}^n / \equiv to 2^W defined as

$$P^*([A]) = P(A)$$

is an isomorphism and the structure $\langle \mathbf{F}^n/\equiv, \leq \rangle$ is isomorphic to the structure $\langle 2^W, \subseteq \rangle$.

As we mentioned, the Kripke model constructed in [Mos07] is an exact model for \mathbf{F}^n . The construction depends on the provability of $\mathbf{S4}$. On the other hand, [Sas09] constructed a way to list all exact models for \mathbf{F}^n by using exact sets for \mathbf{F}^n . The construction of the way does not depend on the provability of $\mathbf{S4}$. Here, we directly construct an exact model for \mathbf{F}^n without using the provability of $\mathbf{S4}$.

In the next section, we introduce an exact set and we give the way constructed in [Sas09]. In section 3, we construct an exact set for \mathbf{F}^n , and using a result in [Sas09], we obtain an exact model for \mathbf{F}^n .

2 Exact sets and exact models for \mathbf{F}^n

In the present section, we introduce an exact set for \mathbf{F}^n and a way to list all exact models for \mathbf{F}^n following [Sas09]. Every lemma in the present section has been proved in [Sas09].

First, we introduce the three sets $\mathbf{G}(n)$, $\mathbf{G}^*(n)$, \mathbf{ED}^n as follows. We can see the relation among these three sets in Definition 2.2. Also, we can treat the set \mathbf{ED}^n as the set of formulas, which behave like elementary disjunctions in the classical propositional logic. In other words, \mathbf{ED}^n satisfies the following two conditions:

•
$$\mathbf{F}^n / \equiv = \{ [\bigwedge \mathbf{for}(\mathcal{S}))] \mid \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbf{ED}^n \},$$

• for subsets S_1 and S_2 of \mathbf{ED}^n ,

$$S_1 \subseteq S_2$$
 if and only if $\bigwedge \mathbf{for}(S_2) \to \bigwedge \mathbf{for}(S_1) \in \mathbf{S4}$.

Definition 2.1 The sets G(n) and $G^*(n)$ of sequents are defined inductively as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}(0) &= \{ (\mathbf{V} - V_1 \to V_1) \mid V_1 \subseteq \mathbf{V} \}, \\ \mathbf{G}^*(0) &= \emptyset, \\ \mathbf{G}(k+1) &= \bigcup_{X \in \mathbf{G}(k) - \mathbf{G}^*(k)} \mathbf{next}(X), \end{aligned}$$

 $X \in \mathbf{G}(k) - \mathbf{G}^*(k)$ $\mathbf{G}^*(k+1) = \{X \in \mathbf{G}(k+1) \mid (\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\square} \subseteq (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square} \text{ implies } (\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\square} = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, \text{ for any } Y \in \mathbb{C}^*(k) = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, \text{ for any } Y \in \mathbb{C}^*(k) = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, \text{ for any } Y \in \mathbb{C}^*(k) = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, \text{ for any } Y \in \mathbb{C}^*(k) = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, \text{ for any } Y \in \mathbb{C}^*(k) = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, \text{ for any } Y \in \mathbb{C}^*(k) = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, \text{ for any } Y \in \mathbb{C}^*(k) = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, \text{ for any } Y \in \mathbb{C}^*(k) = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, \text{ for any } Y \in \mathbb{C}^*(k) = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, \text{ for any } Y \in \mathbb{C}^*(k) = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, \text{ for any } Y \in \mathbb{C}^*(k)$ G(k+1)},

where for any $X \in \mathbf{G}(k)$,

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{next}^+(X) = \{(\Box \Gamma, \mathbf{ant}(X) \to \mathbf{suc}(X), \Box \Delta) \mid \Gamma \cup \Delta = \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(n)), \Gamma \cap \Delta = \emptyset, \mathbf{for}(X) \in \Delta\}, \\ \mathbf{prov}(X) = \{Y \in \mathbf{next}^+(X) \mid Y \in \mathbf{S4}\}, \\ \mathbf{next}(X) = \mathbf{next}^+(X) - \mathbf{prov}(X). \end{array}$$

Definition 2.2 We define the sets \mathbf{ED}^n and \mathbf{G}^* as follows:

$$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{D}^{n} = \mathbf{G}(n) \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{G}^{*}(i), \quad \mathbf{G}^{*} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{G}^{*}(i).$$

Concerning with G(n), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3

- (1) None of the members in G(n) is provable in S4.
- (2) Let X, Y and Z be sequent in $G(n_1)$, $G(n_2)$ and $G(n_3)$, respectively. Then

$$\Box \mathbf{for}(X) \in \mathbf{suc}(Y) \ \ and \ \Box \mathbf{for}(Y) \in \mathbf{suc}(Z) \ \ imply \ \Box \mathbf{for}(X) \in \mathbf{suc}(Z),$$

- (3) For any $X \in \mathbf{G}(n)$, $\mathbf{ant}(X) \cup \mathbf{suc}(X) = \mathbf{V} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(i))$ and $\mathbf{ant}(X) \cap \mathbf{suc}(X) = \emptyset$, (4) For any $X, Y \in \mathbf{G}(n)$, $\mathbf{for}(\mathbf{next}(X)) \to \mathbf{for}(X) \in \mathbf{S4}$.

In Definition 2.1, we use the provability of S4 to define $\mathbf{prov}(X)$ for $X \in \mathbf{G}(n)$. [Sas09] also gave the set without using the provability of S4 as follows.

Definition 2.4 For any $X \in \mathbf{G}(n)$, we define $\mathbf{prov}_1(X)$, $\mathbf{prov}_2(X)$ and $\mathbf{prov}_3(X)$ as follows: $\operatorname{\mathbf{prov}}_1(X) = \{ (\Gamma \to \Delta, \Box \operatorname{\mathbf{for}}(Y)) \in \operatorname{\mathbf{next}}^+(X) \mid Y \in \operatorname{\mathbf{G}}(n), (\operatorname{\mathbf{ant}}(X))^{\square} \not\subseteq (\operatorname{\mathbf{ant}}(Y))^{\square} \},$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{prov}_2(X) &= \{ (\Gamma \to \Delta, \Box \mathbf{for}(Y)) \in \mathbf{next}^+(X) \mid Y \in \mathbf{G}(n), \Box \mathbf{for}(Z_{\ominus}) \in \mathbf{suc}(Y), \\ \Box \mathbf{for}(\{Z \in \mathbf{next}(Z_{\ominus}) \mid (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\Box} \subseteq (\mathbf{ant}(Z))^{\Box} \}) \subseteq \Gamma \text{ for some } Z_{\ominus} \in \mathbf{G}(n-1) - \mathbf{G}^*(n-1) \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{prov}_3(X) = \{(\Box \mathbf{for}(Y), \Gamma \to \Delta, \Box \mathbf{for}(Z)) \in \mathbf{next}^+(X) \mid Y, Z \in \mathbf{G}^*(n), (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^\square = (\mathbf{ant}(Z))^\square\}.$$

Lemma 2.5 For any $X \in \mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)$,

$$\mathbf{prov}(X) = \mathbf{prov}_1(X) \cup \mathbf{prov}_2(X) \cup \mathbf{prov}_3(X).$$

For a sequent $X \in \mathbf{G}(n+2)$, there exists a sequent $Y \in \mathbf{G}(n+1) - \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$ such that $X \in \mathbf{next}(Y)$, and similarly, there exists a sequent $Z \in \mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)$ such that $Y \in \mathbf{next}(Z)$. Here, we can see a relation between X and Z. In order to express this relation, we introduce some notions. We note that,

by the Lemma 2.3(3), every sequent in $\mathbf{G}(n)$ consists of the members in the set $\mathbf{V} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(i))$.

Definition 2.6 For any $X \in \mathbf{G}(n)$ and for any k, we define X(k) as

$$X(k) = (\mathbf{ant}(X) \cap \mathbf{V} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(i)) \to \mathbf{suc}(X) \cap \mathbf{V} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(i))).$$

Definition 2.7 For any $X \in \mathbf{G}(n)$, we define the sets $X \downarrow \mathbb{I}$ inductively as follows:

- $(1) X \in X \downarrow$,
- (2) if $Y \in \mathbf{next}(Z)$ for some $Z \in X \downarrow -\mathbf{G}^*$, then $Y \in X \downarrow$.

Lemma 2.8 For any $X \in \mathbf{G}(n)$ and for any $Y \in \mathbf{G}(k)$,

- (1) $n \neq 0$ implies $X(n-1) \in \mathbf{G}(n-1) \mathbf{G}^*(n-1)$ and $X \in \mathbf{next}(X(n-1))$,
- (2) n > k implies $X(k) \in \mathbf{G}(k) \mathbf{G}^*(k)$, $X \in X(k) \Downarrow$ and $\square \mathbf{for}(X(k)) \in \mathbf{suc}(X)$,
- (3) the following three conditions are equivalent:
 - (3.1) ant $(Y) \subseteq$ ant(X) and suc $(Y) \subseteq$ suc(X),
 - $(3.2) \ n > k \ and \ Y = X(k),$
 - $(3.3) X \in Y \Downarrow$.

Below, we introduce an exact set for \mathbf{F}^n and show results in [Sas09] concerning with exact models for \mathbf{F}^n .

Definition 2.9

- (1) A set $\mathcal E$ is said to be exact for $\mathbf F^n$ if the following three conditions hold:
 - $(1.1) \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} \mathbf{G}^{*}(i) \subseteq \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbf{G}^{*},$ $(1.2) \text{ for any } X \in \mathbf{ED}^{n}, \#(X \Downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}) = 1,$
- (1.3) for any $X \in \mathcal{E}$ and for any $Y \in W_{\mathbf{E}}$, $XR_{\mathbf{E}}Y$ implies $Y \in \mathcal{E}$, where $R_{\mathbf{E}} = \{(X,Y) \mid \Box \mathbf{for}(X) \in \mathbf{suc}(Y) \text{ or } ((\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\square}, (\mathbf{suc}(X))^{\square}) = ((\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}, (\mathbf{suc}(Y))^{\square}) \}.$
 - (2) For an exact set \mathcal{E} for \mathbf{F}^n , the Kripke model $\mathbf{EM}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{EM}_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle \mathcal{E}, R_{\mathcal{E}}, P_{\mathcal{E}} \rangle$$

where $R_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}^2 \cap R_{\mathbf{E}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{E}}(p_i) = \mathcal{E} \cap \{X \mid p_i \in \mathbf{ant}(X)\}.$

- (1) For any exact set \mathcal{E} for \mathbf{F}^n , $\mathbf{EM}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is an exact model for \mathbf{F}^n .
- (2) For any exact model M for \mathbf{F}^n , there exists an exact set \mathcal{E} for \mathbf{F}^n such that M is isomorphic to $\mathbf{EM}_{\mathcal{E}}$.
 - (3) Every exact set for \mathbf{F}^n is a subset of $\bigcup^{n+2\#(\mathbf{ED}^n-W_{\mathbf{E}})} \mathbf{G}^*(i)$.
 - (4) Let \mathcal{E} be an exact set for \mathbf{F}^n . Then for any $A \in \mathbf{F}^n$.

$$A \equiv \bigwedge \{ \mathbf{for}(X(n)) \mid X \in \mathcal{E}, (\mathbf{EM}_{\mathcal{E}}, X) \not\models A \}.$$

By (2) of the above lemma and the exact model for \mathbf{F}^n in [Mos07], we can see that there exists an exact set for \mathbf{F}^n .

A construction of an exact set for F^n without the provability 3 of S4

In the present section, we construct an exact set for \mathbf{F}^n without using the provability of $\mathbf{S4}$. As a result, using Lemma 2.10(1), we obtain an exact model for \mathbf{F}^n . First, we construct the sequent $X^* \in X \downarrow \cap \mathbf{G}^*$ for $X \in \mathbf{G}(n)$, and using X^* , we construct an exact set for \mathbf{F}^n .

Definition 3.1 Let X and Y_{\oplus} be sequents in $\mathbf{G}(n)$ and $\mathbf{G}(n+1)$, respectively. Let Δ be a finite set of sequents. Then we define three sequents $\mathbf{n}(X,\Delta)$, $\mathbf{n}(X,Y_{\oplus})$ and $\mathbf{n}(Y_{\oplus})$ as follows.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{n}(X,\Delta) &= (\Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(n) - (\{X\} \cup \Delta)), \mathbf{ant}(X) \to \mathbf{suc}(X), \Box \mathbf{for}(\{X\} \cup \Delta)), \\ \mathbf{n}(X,Y_{\oplus}) &= \mathbf{n}(X, \{\Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in \mathbf{suc}(Y_{\oplus}) \cap \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(n)) \mid (\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\Box} \subseteq (\mathbf{ant}(Z))^{\Box} \}), \\ \mathbf{n}(Y_{\oplus}) &= \mathbf{n}(Y_{\oplus}, \{\mathbf{n}(X,Y_{\oplus}) \mid \Box \mathbf{for}(X) \in \mathbf{suc}(Y_{\oplus}) \cap \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)) \}). \end{split}$$

We note that if $\Delta \subseteq \mathbf{G}(n)$, then $\mathbf{n}(X, \Delta) \in \mathbf{next}^+(X)$. Also, by the following lemma, we can see that $\mathbf{n}(Y_{\oplus}) \in \mathbf{next}^+(Y_{\oplus})$.

Lemma 3.2 ([Sas09]) Let X and Y_{\oplus} be sequents in $\mathbf{G}(n)$ and $\mathbf{G}(n+1)$, respectively. If $\Box \mathbf{for}(X) \in \mathbf{suc}(Y_{\oplus})$, then $\mathbf{n}(X, Y_{\oplus}) \in \mathbf{next}(X)$.

Definition 3.3 For any $X \in \mathbf{G}(n)$, we define the set $\mathbf{clus}(X)$ as follows.

$$\mathbf{clus}(X) = \{ Y \in \mathbf{G}(n) \mid (\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\square} = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square} \}.$$

We note that, by Lemma 2.3(3),

$$R_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}^2 \cap \{(X, Y) \mid \Box \mathbf{for}(X) \in \mathbf{suc}(Y) \text{ or } X \in \mathbf{clus}(Y)\}.$$

Definition 3.4 For a sequent $X \in \mathbf{G}(n)$, we define $\mathbf{mnext}^k(X)$ as follows.

- (1) $\mathbf{mnext}^0(X) = X$,
- (2) $\mathbf{mnext}^{k+1}(X) = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{mnext}^k(X), \mathbf{G}(n+k)).$

By Lemma 2.5, we can see that $\mathbf{mnext}^k(X) \in \mathbf{G}(n+k)$ for any $X \in \mathbf{G}(0)$. Our main purpose is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5

- (1) $\mathbf{G}^*(1)$ is an exact set for \mathbf{F}^0 .
- (2) For any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and for any $X \in \mathbf{G}(k)$, we can define the sequent X^* inductively as

$$X^* = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X & \text{if } X \in \mathbf{G}^*(k) \\ (\mathbf{n}(X))^* & \text{if } X \not\in \mathbf{G}^*(k), \end{array} \right.$$

and the set

$$\mathbf{G}^* \cap (\{Z \mid \Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in \mathbf{suc}((\mathbf{mnext}^{n+1}(\to \mathbf{V}))^*)\} \cup \mathbf{clus}((\mathbf{mnext}^{n+1}(\to \mathbf{V}))^*))$$

is an exact set for \mathbf{F}^{n+1} .

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\mathbf{G}^*(1) = \{ \mathbf{n}(X, \emptyset) \mid X \in \mathbf{G}(0) \},\$$

and therefore, we obtain Theorem 3.5(1). To prove Theorem 3.5(2), we need some lemmas.

Lemma 3.6 Let X and Y_{\ominus} be sequents in $\mathbf{G}(n+1)$ and $\mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)$, respectively. Let Y be a sequent in $\mathbf{next}^+(Y_{\ominus})$. If $(\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\Box} = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\Box}$, then $Y \in \mathbf{next}(Y_{\ominus})$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.8(1), we have $X \not\in \mathbf{prov}_1(X(n)) \cup \mathbf{prov}_2(X(n)) \cup \mathbf{prov}_3(X(n))$. Using $Y \in \mathbf{next}^+(Y_{\ominus})$ and $(\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\square} = (\mathbf{ant}(Y))^{\square}$, it is not hard to see $Y \not\in \mathbf{prov}_1(Y_{\ominus}) \cup \mathbf{prov}_2(Y_{\ominus}) \cup \mathbf{prov}_3(Y_{\ominus})$.

Lemma 3.7 Let X be a sequent in $\mathbf{G}(n+1) - \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$ and let Δ be a subset of $\mathbf{G}(n+1)$ satisfying $\mathbf{n}(X,\Delta) \in \mathbf{next}(X)$. Then

- (1) $\Delta \subseteq \mathbf{clus}(X) \text{ implies } \mathbf{n}(X, \Delta) \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+2),$
- (2) $\Delta \subseteq \mathbf{G}^*(n+1) \cup \mathbf{clus}(X)$ implies either $\mathbf{n}(X,\Delta) \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+2)$ or $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n}(X,\Delta),\mathbf{clus}(\mathbf{n}(X,\Delta)) \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+3)$.

Proof.

For (1). Suppose that $\mathbf{n}(X, \Delta) \not\in \mathbf{G}^*(n+2)$. Then there exists a sequent $Y_{n+2} \in \mathbf{G}(n+2)$ such that $(\mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(X,\Delta)))^{\square} \subsetneq (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{n+2}))^{\square}$. Using Lemma 2.3(3) and Lemma 2.8(1), we have either

$$(\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\square} \subseteq (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{n+2}(n)))^{\square} \tag{1.1}$$

or

$$\operatorname{ant}(\mathbf{n}(X,\Delta)) \cap \Box \operatorname{for}(\mathbf{G}(n)) \subseteq \operatorname{ant}(Y_{n+2}) \cap \Box \operatorname{for}(\mathbf{G}(n)).$$
 (1.2)

We divide the cases.

The case that (1.1) holds. By (1.1), we have $Y_{n+2}(n) \in \mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{clus}(X)$, and therefore,

$$\Box \mathbf{for}(Y_{n+2}(n)) \in \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{clus}(X)) \subset (\mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(X, \Delta)))^{\square} \subset (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{n+2}))^{\square}. \tag{1.3}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.8(1), we have $Y_{n+2} \in \mathbf{next}(Y_{n+2}(n))$ and $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y_{n+2}(n)) \in \mathbf{suc}(Y_{n+2})$, which is in contradiction with (1.3) and Lemma 2.3(3).

The case that (1.1) does not hold. We have (1.2) and

$$(\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\square} = (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{n+2}(n)))^{\square}. \tag{1.4}$$

By (1.2) and Lemma 2.3(3), there exists a sequent $Z \in \{X\} \cup \Delta \subseteq \mathbf{clus}(X)$ such that $\Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{n+2}))^{\Box}$. By Lemma 2.8(1), we have $Z(n-1) \in \mathbf{G}(n-1)$ and $Z \in \mathbf{next}(Z(n-1))$. Using Lemma 2.3(3), we have $\Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{next}(Z(n-1))) \cap \mathbf{clus}(X) = \{\Box \mathbf{for}(Z)\}$. Using $\Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{next}(Z(n-1))) - \mathbf{clus}(X) \subseteq (\mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(X,\Delta)))^{\Box}$, we have

$$\Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{next}(Z(n-1))) \subseteq (\mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(X,\Delta)))^{\square} \cup \{\Box \mathbf{for}(Z)\} \subseteq (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{n+2}))^{\square}. \tag{1.5}$$

On the other hand, by $\Box \mathbf{for}(Z(n-1)) \to \Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in \mathbf{S4}$, $\Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in \{X\} \cup \Delta \subseteq \mathbf{suc}(X)$, and Lemma 2.3(1), we have $\Box \mathbf{for}(Z(n-1)) \in \mathbf{suc}(X)$. Using Lemma 2.3(3) and (1.4) we have $\Box \mathbf{for}(Z(n-1)) \in (\mathbf{suc}(Y_{n+2}(n)))^{\square} \subseteq (\mathbf{suc}(Y_{n+2}))^{\square}$. Using (1.5) and Lemma 2.3(4), we have $Y_{n+2} \in \mathbf{S4}$, which is in contradiction with $Y_{n+2} \in \mathbf{G}(n+2)$ and Lemma 2.4(1).

For (2). For brevity's sake, we refer to X_{n+2} as $\mathbf{n}(X, \Delta)$. We note that $X_{n+2} = \mathbf{n}(X, \Delta) \in \mathbf{next}(X) \subseteq \mathbf{G}(n+2)$. Suppose that $X_{n+2} \notin \mathbf{G}^*(n+2)$. Then by (1),

$$\mathbf{n}(X_{n+2}, \mathbf{clus}(X_{n+2})) \in \mathbf{next}(X_{n+2}) \text{ implies } \mathbf{n}(X_{n+2}, \mathbf{clus}(X_{n+2})) \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+3).$$

Using Lemma 2.5, we have only to show

$$\mathbf{n}(X_{n+2}, \mathbf{clus}(X_{n+2})) \in \mathbf{next}^+(X_{n+2}) - (\mathbf{prov}_1(X_{n+2}) \cup \mathbf{prov}_2(X_{n+2}) \cup \mathbf{prov}_3(X_{n+2})).$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\mathbf{n}(X_{n+2}, \mathbf{clus}(X_{n+2})) \in \mathbf{next}^+(X_{n+2}) - (\mathbf{prov}_1(X_{n+2}) \cup \mathbf{prov}_3(X_{n+2})).$$

We show

$$\mathbf{n}(X_{n+2}, \mathbf{clus}(X_{n+2})) \not\in \mathbf{prov}_2(X_{n+2}). \tag{2.1}$$

Suppose that (2.1) does not hold. Then there exist sequents $Y_{n+2} \in \mathbf{G}(n+2)$ and $Z \in \mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)$ such that

$$Y_{n+2} \in \mathbf{clus}(X_{n+2}),\tag{2.2}$$

$$\Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in \mathbf{suc}(Y_{n+2}),\tag{2.3}$$

$$\Box \mathbf{for}(\{Z_{n+2} \in \mathbf{next}(Z) \mid (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{n+2}))^{\square} \subseteq (\mathbf{ant}(Z_{n+2}))^{\square}\}) \subseteq \mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(X_{n+2}, \mathbf{clus}(X_{n+2}))). \tag{2.4}$$

By (2.2) and Lemma 2.3(3), we have $(\mathbf{suc}(Y_{n+2}))^{\Box} = (\mathbf{suc}(X_{n+2}))^{\Box}$. Using (2.3), we have

$$\Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in (\mathbf{suc}(X_{n+2}))^{\square} \cap \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^{*}(n)) \subseteq \Box \mathbf{for}((\{X\} \cup \Delta) - \mathbf{G}^{*}(n)) \subseteq \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{clus}(X));$$

and thus, $Z \in \mathbf{clus}(X)$. We define Z_{n+2} as

$$Z_{n+2} = \mathbf{n}(Z, \{X\} \cup \Delta).$$

Then by $\Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in (\mathbf{suc}(X_{n+2}))^{\Box}$ and $Z \in \mathbf{clus}(X)$, we have

$$(\operatorname{ant}(Z_{n+2}))^{\square} = (\operatorname{ant}(X_{n+2}))^{\square}. \tag{2.5}$$

Using (2.2), we have $(\mathbf{ant}(Z_{n+2}))^{\square} = (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{n+2}))^{\square}$, and using Lemma 3.6, we have $Z_{n+2} \in \mathbf{next}(Z_n)$. Using (2.4), we have

$$\Box$$
 for $(Z_{n+2}) \in$ ant $(\mathbf{n}(X_{n+2}, \mathbf{clus}(X_{n+2})))$.

Using Lemma 2.3(3), we have $Z_{n+2} \not\in \mathbf{clus}(X_{n+2})$, which is in contradiction with (2.5).

Lemma 3.8 For any $X \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$,

- (1) \Box for $(Y_{\ominus}) \in \mathbf{suc}(X) \cap \Box$ for $(\mathbf{G}(n) \mathbf{G}^*(n))$ implies $\#(\mathbf{next}(Y_{\ominus}) \cap \mathbf{clus}(X)) = 1$,
- (2) $\operatorname{suc}(X) \cap \Box \operatorname{for}(\mathbf{G}(n)) \subseteq \Box \operatorname{for}(\operatorname{clus}(X(n)) \cup \mathbf{G}^*(n)),$
- (3) for any $Y, Z \in \mathbf{G}^*$, $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y) \in \mathbf{suc}(X) \cup \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{clus}(X))$ and $YR_{\mathbf{E}}Z$ imply $\Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in \mathbf{suc}(X) \cup \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{clus}(X))$.

Proof.

For (1). By Lemma 3.2, we have $\mathbf{n}(Y_{\ominus}, X) \in \mathbf{next}(Y_{\ominus}) \cap \mathbf{G}(n+1)$. Using Lemma 2.4(3), we have $(\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\Box} \subseteq (\mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(Y_{\ominus}, X)))^{\Box}$. Using $X \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$, we have $(\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\Box} = (\mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(Y_{\ominus}, X)))^{\Box}$, and therefore, $\mathbf{n}(Y_{\ominus}, X) \in \mathbf{clus}(X)$. Using Lemma 2.9, we obtain (1).

For (2). We note that $(\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\square} = (\mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(Y_{\ominus}, X)))^{\square}$ implies $(\mathbf{ant}(X(n)))^{\square} = (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{\ominus}))^{\square}$. Hence, using the proof of (1), we obtain (2).

For (3). If \Box for $(Y) \in \mathbf{suc}(X)$, then by Lemma 2.3(2) and Lemma 2.5, we have \Box for $(Z) \in \mathbf{suc}(X)$. If $Y \in \mathbf{clus}(X)$, then we have that \Box for $(Z) \in \mathbf{suc}(Y)$ implies \Box for $(Z) \in \mathbf{suc}(X)$ and that $Z \in \mathbf{clus}(Y)$ implies $Z \in \mathbf{clus}(X)$.

Definition 3.9 Let X be sequents in G(n+1). Two sets $suc(X)^{\circ}$ and $suc(X)^{*}$ and a number #(X) are defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{suc}(X)^{\circ} = \mathbf{suc}(X) \cap \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^{*}(n)), \quad \mathbf{suc}(X)^{*} = \mathbf{suc}(X) \cap \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}^{*}(n)).$$

$$\#(X) = 2\#(\mathbf{suc}(X_{n+1})^{\circ}) + \#(\mathbf{suc}(X_{n+1})^{*}).$$

Lemma 3.10 For any $X \in \mathbf{G}(n+1)$,

- (1) $\mathbf{n}(X) \in \mathbf{next}(X)$,
- (2) $\#(X) > \#(\mathbf{n}(X))$.

Proof.

For (1). It is easily seen that $\mathbf{n}(X) \in \mathbf{next}^+(X)$. By Lemma 2.5, it is not hard to see that, for any Y_{\ominus} , $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y_{\ominus}) \in \mathbf{suc}(X)^{\circ}$ implies $(\mathbf{ant}(X))^{\Box} \subseteq (\mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(Y_{\ominus},X)))^{\Box}$, and therefore, we have $\mathbf{n}(X) \not\in \mathbf{prov}_1(X)$. Also, it is not hard to see that $\mathbf{n}(X) \not\in \mathbf{prov}_2(X)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.5, it is sufficient to show $\mathbf{n}(X) \not\in \mathbf{prov}_3(X)$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{n}(X) \in \mathbf{prov}_3(X)$. Then there exist two sequents Y' and Y'' in $\mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$ such that $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y') \in \mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(X))$, $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y'') \in \mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X))$ and $(\mathbf{ant}(Y'))^{\Box} = (\mathbf{ant}(Y''))^{\Box}$. By Lemma 2.8(2), we have $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y'(n)) \in (\mathbf{suc}(Y'))^{\Box} = (\mathbf{suc}(Y''))^{\Box}$. Also, by $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y'') \in \mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X))$, we have $\mathbf{n}(Y''(n), X) = Y''$. Therefore, we have $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y'(n)) \in (\mathbf{suc}(X))^{\Box}$, and thus,

$$\Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{n}(Y'(n), X)) \in (\mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X)))^{\square}. \tag{1.1}$$

By Lemma 2.3(3), we have $(\mathbf{suc}(Y'(n)))^{\square} = (\mathbf{suc}(Y''(n)))^{\square}$, and thus,

$$(\mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(Y'(n),X)))^{\square} = (\mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(Y''(n),X)))^{\square} = (\mathbf{suc}(Y''))^{\square} = (\mathbf{suc}(Y'))^{\square}.$$

Hence, $\mathbf{n}(Y'(n), X) = Y'$. Using (1.1),

$$\Box$$
 for $(Y') \in (\mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X)))^{\Box},$

which is in contradiction with Lemma 2.3(3) and \Box **for** $(Y') \in$ **ant** $(\mathbf{n}(X))$.

For (2). By (1), we have

$$\#(\mathbf{suc}(X)^{\circ}) = \#(\mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X))^{\circ}) + \#(\mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X))^{*}).$$

Therefore, if $\mathbf{suc}(X)^* \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\#(X) = 2\#(\mathbf{suc}(X)^\circ) + \#(\mathbf{suc}(X)^*) > 2\#(\mathbf{suc}(X)^\circ) \ge 2\#(\mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X))^\circ) + \#(\mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X))^*) = \#(\mathbf{n}(X)).$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{suc}(X_{n+1})^* = \emptyset$. Then we note that there exits a sequent $Y_{\ominus} \in \mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)$ such that $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y_{\ominus}) \in \mathbf{suc}(X)^{\circ}$ and

$$\{Z_{\ominus} \in \mathbf{G}(n) \mid \Box \mathbf{for}(Z_{\ominus}) \in \mathbf{suc}(X), (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{\ominus}))^{\Box} \subseteq (\mathbf{ant}(Z_{\ominus}))^{\Box}\}$$

$$= \{ Z_{\ominus} \in \mathbf{G}(n) \mid \Box \mathbf{for}(Z_{\ominus}) \in \mathbf{suc}(X), (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{\ominus}))^{\Box} = (\mathbf{ant}(Z)_{\ominus})^{\Box} \}.$$

By $\{Z_{\ominus} \in \mathbf{G}(n) \mid \Box \mathbf{for}(Z_{\ominus}) \in \mathbf{suc}(X), (\mathbf{ant}(Y_{\ominus}))^{\Box} = (\mathbf{ant}(Z_{\ominus}))^{\Box}\}) \subseteq \mathbf{clus}(Y_{\ominus})$ and Lemma 3.7(1), we have $\mathbf{n}(Y_{\ominus}, X) \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$. Hence,

$$\#(X) = 2\#(\mathbf{suc}(X)^\circ) + \#(\mathbf{suc}(X)^*) = 2\#(\mathbf{suc}(X)^\circ) > 2\#(\mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X))^\circ) + \#(\mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X))^*) = \#(\mathbf{n}(X)).$$

_

By the above lemma, for any $X \in \mathbf{G}(n+1) - \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$, we have $\mathbf{n}(X) \in \mathbf{G}(n+2)$, and therefore, we can define the sequent X^* as in Theorem 3.5(2).

Lemma 3.11 For any $X \in \mathbf{G}(n+1)$,

- (1) $X^* \in X \Downarrow \cap \mathbf{G}^*$,
- (2) for any $Y \in \mathbf{ED}^n$, $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y) \in \mathbf{suc}(X)$ implies $\#(Y \Downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}(X^*)) = 1$,
- (3) for any $Y \in \mathbf{ED}^n$, $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y) \in \mathbf{ant}(X)$ implies $\#(Y \Downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}(X^*)) = 0$, where $\mathcal{E}(X^*) = \mathbf{G}^* \cap (\{Z \mid \Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in \mathbf{suc}(X^*)\} \cup \mathbf{clus}(X^*))$.

Proof. We use an induction on #(X). Basis(#(X) = 2) is included Induction step by the following two reasons:

- in Induction step, we treat the case that $X \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$,
- by Lemma 3.7(2), we have that $\mathbf{suc}(X) \cap \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{G}(n)) = \{\Box \mathbf{for}(X(n))\}$ implies $X \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$. Induction step. We divide the cases.

The case that $X \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$. We have $X^* = X$ and (1). Suppose that $Y \in \mathbf{ED}^n$. If $Y \notin \mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)$, then we have $\{Y\} = Y \downarrow$, and therefore, we obtain the following two conditions:

- \Box **for** $(Y) \in$ **suc**(X) implies $\#(Y \Downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}(X)) = 1$,
- \Box **for** $(Y) \in$ **ant**(X) implies $\#(Y \Downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}(X)) = 0$.

We assume that $Y \in \mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)$.

We show (2). Suppose that \Box for $(Y) \in \mathbf{suc}(X)$. By $Y \in \mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)$ and Lemma 3.8(2), there exists Y_{n+1} such that $\{Y_{n+1}\} = \mathbf{next}(Y) \cap \mathbf{clus}(X)$. Using the definition of $\mathbf{G}(n)$ and $X \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$, we have $Y_{n+1} \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$, and using Lemma 2.9, $\{Y_{n+1}\} = Y \Downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}(X)$.

We show (3). Suppose that $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y) \in \mathbf{ant}(X)$ and $Z \in Y \Downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}(X)$. If $Z \in Y \Downarrow \cap \mathbf{G}^* \cap \{Z \mid \Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in \mathbf{suc}(X)\}$, then by $Y \in \mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)$, we have $Z \in Y \Downarrow \cap \mathbf{G}^*(n) = \emptyset$. We assume that $Z \in Y \Downarrow \cap \mathbf{G}^* \cap \mathbf{clus}(X)$. Then using the definition of $\mathbf{G}(n)$, we have $Z \in \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$. Using Lemma 2.8, $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y) = \Box \mathbf{for}(Z(n)) \in (\mathbf{suc}(Z))^{\Box} = (\mathbf{suc}(X))^{\Box}$, which is in contradiction with $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y) \in \mathbf{ant}(X)$ and Lemma 2.3(3).

The case that $X \notin \mathbf{G}^*(n+1)$. By Lemma 3.10, we have $\mathbf{n}(X) \in \mathbf{G}(n+2)$ and $\#(X) > \#(\mathbf{n}(X))$. Also, we have $X^* = (\mathbf{n}(X))^*$. Therefore, using the induction hypothesis, the following three conditions hold:

- (4) $X^* \in \mathbf{n}(X) \Downarrow \cap \mathbf{G}^*$
- (5) for any $Y \in \mathbf{ED}^{n+1}$, $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y) \in \mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X))$ implies $\#(Y \downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}(X^*)) = 1$,

(6) for any $Y \in \mathbf{ED}^{n+1}$, $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y) \in \mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(X))$ implies $\#(Y \Downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}(X^*)) = 0$. By Lemma 3.10(1) and (4), we have (1).

We show (2). Suppose that $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y) \in \mathbf{suc}(X) \cap \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{ED}^n)$. If $Y \in \mathbf{G}^*$, then we have $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y) \in \mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X)) \cap \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{ED}^{n+1})$, and using (5), we obtain (2). We assume that $Y \in \mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)$. Then we have $\mathbf{next}(Y) \cap \{Z \mid \Box \mathbf{for}(Z) \in \mathbf{suc}(\mathbf{n}(X))\} = \{\mathbf{n}(Y,X)\}$, and using (5), we obtain $\#(\mathbf{n}(Y,X) \downarrow \cup \mathcal{E}(X^*)) = 1$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3(3), we have

$$Y \Downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}(X^*) = (\bigcup_{Y_{n+1} \in \mathbf{next}(Y), \Box \mathbf{for}(Y_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(X))} (Y_{n+1} \Downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}(X^*))) \cup (\mathbf{n}(Y, X) \Downarrow \cap \mathcal{E}(X^*)).$$

Using (6), we obtain (2).

We show (3). Suppose that $\Box \mathbf{for}(Y) \in \mathbf{ant}(X) \cap \Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{ED}^n)$. Then similarly to the proof of (2), we can assume that $Y \in \mathbf{G}(n) - \mathbf{G}^*(n)$. Then we have $\Box \mathbf{for}(\mathbf{next}(Y)) \subseteq \mathbf{ant}(\mathbf{n}(X))$, and using (6), we obtain (3).

 \dashv

From Lemma 3.10, Lemma 3.8(3) and Lemma 3.11, we obtain Theorem 3.5(2).

References

- [Bru75] N. G. de Bruijn, Exact finite models for minimal propositional calculus over a finite alphabet, Technological University Eindhoven, Report 75-WSK-02, 1975.
- [Gen35] G. Gentzen, *Untersuchungen über das logisch Schliessen*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 39, 1934–35, pp. 176–210, 405–431.
- [Mos07] L. S. Moss, Finite models constructed from canonical formulas, Journal of Philosophical Logic, 36, 2007, pp. 605–640.
- [OM57] M. Ohnishi and K. Matsumoto, Gentzen method in modal calculi, Osaka Mathematical Journal, 9, 1957, pp. 113–130.
- [Sas09] K. Sasaki, Formulas in modal logic S4, manuscript, 2009.