NANZAN-TR-2004-03

The Valuation and Optimal Strategies of Callable Convertible Bonds

Kyoko Yagi and Katsushige Sawaki

September 2004

Technical Report of the Nanzan Academic Society
Mathematical Sciences and Information Engineering

The Valuation and Optimal Strategies of Callable Convertible Bonds

Kyoko Yagi* and Katsushige Sawaki †
Nanzan University
(27 Seirei, Seto, Aichi, 489-0863, Japan)

Abstract

This paper presents a simple pricing model for valuing callable convertible bonds under the setting of a coupled stopping game between the issuer (firm) and the investor (holder). We use the model to provide the valuation formula for such bonds and to explore some analytical properties of optimal conversion and call strategies as well as several numerical results by using the finite element method. Furthermore, optimal critical prices for call and conversion are examined numerically.

Keywords : Asset pricing, callable discount, convertible bonds, optimal stopping time, optimal boundaries
AMS subject Classification : 91B28

1 Introduction

Consider a zero-coupon callable convertible bond (abbreviated by CB) which permits an investor to exchange the bond for a certain number of the underlying stock at any time of his choosing and gives the issuer the right to purchase back the bond at any time for a specific amount. Since the convertible bond with such a call feature should clearly be evaluated less than the convertible bond without that feature, it is an attractive and complex security.

In this paper we present a pricing model for callable CBs where the underlying stock pays dividends. In section 2 we formulate the valuation of the callable CB under the setting of a coupled stopping game based upon the Kifer's game option model [8]. In section 3 we discuss optimal call and convertible policies for the issuer and the investor, respectively. It will be shown that the CB with the call feature is worth less than the bond without and that the conversion feature increases the value of the CB to the investor. In section 4 we show that the value of the callable CB can be decomposed into four terms of the bond price, the value of the European call option with the face value as the exercise price, the early conversion premium and the callable discounted value. Section 5 provides some numerical results to recognize some analytical properties of the value of the callable and non-callable

*d04mm003@nanzan-u.ac.jp
†sawaki@nanzan-u.ac.jp

CBs by using the finite element approach. Finally, in section 6 we summarize the paper with some concluding remarks.

Brennan and Schwartz [1] provides the groundwork for the pricing model of convertible bond together with Ingersoll [5]. On the other hand, Kifer[8] paves a way of the pricing model of American options with the call feature. McConell and Schwartz [10] provides and analyzes a typical example, LYON, of the callable American option. Seko and Sawaki [12] considers the valuation of the callable American put and call options following the Kifer's approach. Myneni [11] and Bunch and Johnson [2] study the pricing model for American options. Longstaff and Schwartz [9] presents a new computational approach based upon the least-squares simulation for callable option. Kariya and Tsuda [7] proposes a statistical model for valuing the CB. Yagi and Sawaki [13] studies a simple binomial model for valuing the convertible bond but analyzes neither the optimal boundaries nor the decomposition of the CB price. The inspiration behind our work was the work of [1], [8] and [12]. However, these ideas cannot be transfered directly but must be revised to the callable CB because the call feature does not rise the penalty lost in CBs. So far, there are not so many analytical papers which analyze the value and optimal boundaries of callable CBs although there are many empirical studies on CBs, [3] and [4].

2 The Pricing Model for Callable Convertible Bonds

Consider a firm financing stocks and convertible bonds in the capital market. The value of the firm at time t V_t is given by

$$V_t \equiv CB(t, V_t) + mS_t^b \tag{1}$$

where $CB(t, V_t)$ is the total value of the CB with the face value F and the maturity T, and S_t^b the stock value with the number of shares m before conversion. Let S_t^a be the stock value after conversion and n the number of stocks converted from the CB. Then, equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$V_t = (n+m)S_t^a. \tag{2}$$

Letting $z = n/(n+m)$ as the dilution ratio, the conversion value at time t $CV(t, V_t)$ is given by

$$CV(t, V_t) = nS_t^a = zV_t. \tag{3}$$

Denote $CP(t) = F$ the call price when the firm calls the CB at time t. Then the firm pays the investor $\max(zV_t, F)$.

A riskless asset price B_t with the interest rate r is given by

$$dB_t = rB_t dt, \quad B_0 > 0, \; r > 0. \tag{4}$$

Let Z_t be a standard Brownian motion defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}, P)$. Define the risk neutral probability measure $\tilde{P}$ which is equivalent to P as

$$\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mu - r}{\kappa}\right)^2 t - \frac{\mu - r}{\kappa} Z(t)\right\}. \tag{5}$$

Then, the stochastic differential equation of the firm value can be written as the well known geometric Brownian motion

$$dV_t = (r - \delta)V_t dt + \kappa V_t d\tilde{Z}_t \tag{6}$$

where δ is the constant rate of dividend payments for the firm value, κ the volatility and $\tilde{Z}_t$ the standard Brownian motion defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}, \tilde{P})$.

The CB holder as the investor should follow an optimal conversion policy so as to maximize the value of the CB. In other words, if the value of the CB exceeds its conversion value given by (3), it is optimal for the investor not to convert. On the other hand, since the issuer seeks to minimize the value of the CB, it is optimal for the issuer not to call the CB when the greater of call price or the conversion value is greater than the value of the CB. It follows from these arguments that the value of the callable CB must satisfy the inequalities

$$zV_t \leq CB(t, V_t) \leq \max(zV_t, F) \tag{7}$$

which provides the lower and upper bounds of the CB value and allows the firm to declare the bankruptcy in the maximum of zV_t and F.

Let σ be a call time (a stopping time) by the issuer and τ a conversion time (a stopping time) by the investor. Denote $\mathcal{T}_{t,T}$ the set of stopping times with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$. The payoff function at the stopping time $\sigma \wedge \tau$ is given by

$$R(\sigma, \tau) = \max(zV_\sigma, F)1_{\{\sigma<\tau\}} + zV_\tau 1_{\{\tau\leq\sigma\}} \tag{8}$$

where $1_{\{\sigma<\tau\}} = 1$ if the issuer stops first and $1_{\{\tau\leq\sigma\}} = 1$ if the investor stops first, and the issuer pledges to pays the investor at any stopping time. At the time of $\sigma = \tau$ the investor's right dominates the issuer's one. At the maturity T the value of the callable CB is the smaller of the value of the firm or the maximum between the conversion value and the face value of the CB, that is,

$$CB(T, V_T) = \min(V_T, \max(zV_T, F)). \tag{9}$$

After a minor revision , we can use the following theorem given by Kifer [8] which paved a way of the pricing model for a game option. We use the model to value a callable CB as a special case.

THEOREM 1. *Define*

$$J_t^v(\sigma, \tau) = \tilde{E}\left[e^{-r(\sigma\wedge\tau\wedge T-t)}\left(R(\sigma,\tau) + \min(V_T, \max(zV_T, F))1_{\{\sigma=T,\tau=T\}}\right)|V_t = v\right]. \tag{10}$$

Suppose that for all $v > 0$

$$E\left[\sup_{0\leq\tau\leq T} e^{-r\tau}zV_\tau \;\middle|\; V_0 = v\right] < \infty.$$

There is a unique no-arbitrage price process $CB(t, v)$ of the game option in the sense of Black-Scholes model such that $CB(t, v)$ can be represented by the right continuous process

$$\begin{aligned} CB(t, v) &= \inf_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}_{t,T}} \sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_{t,T}} J_t^v(\sigma, \tau) \\ &= \sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_{t,T}} \inf_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}_{t,T}} J_t^v(\sigma, \tau). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Moreover, the optimal stopping times $\hat{\sigma}_t$ *and* $\hat{\tau}_t$ *for the issuer and the investor, respectively, are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_t &= \inf\{\sigma \in [t,T) \mid CB(\sigma, V_\sigma) = \max(zV_\sigma, F)\}, \\ \hat{\tau}_t &= \inf\{\tau \in [t,T) \mid CB(\tau, V_\tau) = zV_\tau\}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Furthermore, there exists a self-financing portfolio π^* *such that* $(\hat{\sigma}, \pi^*)$ *is a hedge against the callable contingent claim with initial capital* $J_0^v(\hat{\sigma}, \tau) = CB(0, v)$ *for all* τ *and with* $\tilde{P}$*-probability such portfolio* π^* *is unique.*

Proof should be referred to Kifer [8] after putting $Y_t = zV_t$ as the payoff function of the investor.

3 Optimal Call and Conversion Policies and Their Optimal Boundaries to Value the Callable CB

To value the callable CB we must identify the call and conversion policies for the firm and the investor to follow. In according to the spirit of Brennan Schwartz [1] we assume that it is optimal for the firm to minimize the value of CB and for the investor to maximize the value of the CB at each stopping time.

Optimal call policy The firm will never call the CB when its value is less than the greater of the call price or its conversion value because the firm wishes to minimize the value of the CB. Under such an optimal call policy the following condition holds;

$$CB(t, V_t) \le \max(zV_t, F), \quad \text{at all } t, V_t$$

Optimal conversion policy The investor will never convert the CB when its value is greater than the conversion value because the investor seeks to maximize the value of the CB. Under an optimal conversion policy we have

$$CB(t, V_t) \ge zV_t.$$

Define the stopping region $\mathcal{S}^f$ for the firm, $\mathcal{S}^i$ for the investor and the continuation region $\mathcal{C}$ for the both of them as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^f &= \{(t,v) \mid CB(t,v) = \max(zv, F)\} \\ \mathcal{S}^i &= \{(t,v) \mid CB(t,v) = zv\} \\ \mathcal{C} &= \{(t,v) \mid zv < CB(t,v) < \max(zv, F)\} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, defining the value of non-callable CB by $\overline{CB}(t,v)$, the stopping region $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^i$ and the continuation region $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ for the investor is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{S}}^i &= \{(t,v) \mid \overline{CB}(t,v) = zv\} \\ \overline{\mathcal{C}} &= \{(t,v) \mid \overline{CB}(t,v) > zv\} \end{aligned}$$

because the non-callable CB allows only the investor to exercise the right for the conversion. For each t define

$$\mathcal{S}_t^f = \{v|\ (t,v) \in \mathcal{S}^f\},\quad \mathcal{S}_t^i = \{v|\ (t,v) \in \mathcal{S}^i\},\quad \mathcal{C}_t = \{v|\ (t,v) \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

and similarly

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}}_t^i = \{v|\ (t,v) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}^i\},\quad \overline{\mathcal{C}}_t = \{v|\ (t,v) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2. *The value of callable CB is less than or equal to the value of non-callable, that is,*

$$CB(t,v) \leq \overline{CB}(t,v) \quad for\ all\ t\ and\ v \tag{14}$$

and moreover,

$$\mathcal{S}_t^i \supseteq \overline{\mathcal{S}}_t^i \quad for\ all\ t. \tag{15}$$

The proof immediately follows from Theorem 1 because only the investor seeks to maximize the value of CB in equation (11). Equation (15) follows from equation (14).

The optimal call boundary for the firm can be defined as the graph of $v_t^f \equiv \inf\{v|\ v \in \mathcal{S}_t^f\}$. Similarly, the optimal conversion boundary for the investor is the graph of $v_t^i \equiv \inf\{v|\ v \in \mathcal{S}_t^i\}$, $t \in [0,T]$.

Set $v_t^* = \min(v_t^f, v_t^i)$ and similarly $\overline{v}_t^i$ the optimal conversion boundary for the non-callable CB.

THEOREM 3. *The following relationships hold between the callable and non-callable CB,*

(i) $\mathcal{S}_t^f = [v_t^f, \infty)$, $\mathcal{S}_t^i = [v_t^i, \infty)$, $\mathcal{C}_t = [0, v_t^*)$

(ii) $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_t^i = [\overline{v}_t^i, \infty)$, $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_t = [0, \overline{v}_t^i)$

(iii) $v_t^i \leq \overline{v}_t^i$ *and* $v_t^f \leq F/z$

Proof of (i) and (ii) follows from the definitions of $\mathcal{S}_t^f$, $\mathcal{S}_t^i$ and $\mathcal{C}_t$, $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_t^i$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_t$. Property (iii) can be proved from Proposition 2. Note that the optimal call and conversion policies depend upon the conversion ratio, the value of the CB and the time remained before the maturity.

4 A Decomposition of the Value of the Convertible Bond

Ingersoll [5] has shown that it is optimal for the investor not to convert the non-callable CB before the maturity when the stock pays no dividend, and hence the value of the non-callable CB can be presented in term of the sum of the bond price and the price of the European call option when the CB pays no coupon. This means that when the stock pays a constant dividend, the value of the non-callable CB can be rewritten as the sum of the bond price and the price of American call option.

THEOREM 4. *Let $B(t,v)$ be the bond price when the value of firm is v at time t and $\overline{C}$ the price of the American call option. Then, the value of the non-callable CB can be decomposed as follows;*

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{CB}(t,v) &= B(t,v)+\overline{C}(t,zv;B(t,v)) \\ &= B(t,v)+C_e(t,zv;F)+\overline{p}(t,v) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $C_e(t,zv;F)$ is the European call option when the exercise price is the face value with the underwriting asset value zv and $\overline{p}(t,v)$ is the premium of the early conversion given by

$$\overline{p}(t,v)=\tilde{E}\left[\int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)}\delta z V_s 1_{\{\overline{v}_s^i\le V_s\}}ds\Big|V_t=v\right]. \tag{17}$$

PROOF. It is well known from Ingersoll [5] that we have

$$\overline{CB}(t,v)=B(t,v)+\overline{C}(t,zv).$$

Putting $f(t,v)=e^{-rt}\overline{C}(t,zv)$ and applying the Ito's lemma we obtain

$$f(T,V_T)=f(t,v)+\int_t^T\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}dV_s+\int_t^T\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2V_s^2\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial v^2}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}\right)ds. \tag{18}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{-rT}\overline{C}(T,zV_T)\\ &=e^{-rt}\overline{C}(t,zv)+\int_t^T e^{-rs}\frac{\partial\overline{C}}{\partial v}dV_s+\int_t^T e^{-rs}\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2V_s^2\frac{\partial^2\overline{C}}{\partial v^2}-r\overline{C}+\frac{\partial\overline{C}}{\partial s}\right)ds\\ &=e^{-rt}\overline{C}(t,zv)+\int_t^T e^{-rs}\kappa V_s\frac{\partial\overline{C}}{\partial v}d\tilde{Z}_s\\ &+\int_t^T e^{-rs}\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2V_s^2\frac{\partial^2\overline{C}}{\partial v^2}+(r-\delta)V_s\frac{\partial\overline{C}}{\partial v}-r\overline{C}+\frac{\partial\overline{C}}{\partial s}\right)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2v^2\frac{\partial^2\overline{C}}{\partial v^2}+(r-\delta)v\frac{\partial\overline{C}}{\partial v}-r\overline{C}+\frac{\partial\overline{C}}{\partial t}\\ &=\begin{cases}0, & (t,v)\in\overline{\mathcal{C}}\\ -\delta zv-\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2v^2\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial v^2}+(r-\delta)v\frac{\partial B}{\partial v}-rB+\frac{\partial B}{\partial t}\right), & (t,v)\in\overline{\mathcal{S}}^i\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2v^2\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial v^2}+(r-\delta)v\frac{\partial B}{\partial v}-rB+\frac{\partial B}{\partial t}=0.$$

Equation (19) can be rearranged as

$$e^{-rT}\overline{C}(T,zV_T)=e^{-rt}\overline{C}(t,zv)+\int_t^T e^{-rs}\kappa V_s\frac{\partial\overline{C}}{\partial v}d\tilde{Z}_s-\int_t^T e^{-rs}\delta zV_s1_{\{\overline{v}_s^i\le V_s\}}ds.$$

After multiplying by e^{rt} and taking the expectation conditioning on $V_t=v$, we have

$$\tilde{E}[e^{-r(T-t)}\overline{C}(T,zV_T)\mid V_t=v]=\overline{C}(t,zv)-\tilde{E}\left[\int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)}\delta zV_s1_{\{\overline{v}_s^i\le V_s\}}ds\;\Big|\;V_t=v\right]$$

□

Next, we present the decomposition of the value of the callable CB. Let $C(t,v)$ be the value of conversion option which is the difference between the value of the callable CB and the bond price.

THEOREM 5. *The value of the callable CB can be represented by the following decomposition;*

$$\begin{aligned} CB(t,v) &= B(t,v) + C(t,v) \\ &= B(t,v) + C_e(t,zv;F) + p(t,v) - d(t,v), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where

$$p(t,v) = \tilde{E}\left[\int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)} \delta z V_s 1_{\{v_s^* \le V_s\}} ds \Big| V_t = v\right] \tag{21}$$

and

$$d(t,v) = \tilde{E}\left[\int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)} \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial v}(s, v_s^{*+}) - \frac{\partial C}{\partial v}(s, v_s^{*-})\right) dL_s^v(v_s^*) \Big| V_t = v\right]. \tag{22}$$

where we can call $p(t,v)$ the early conversion premium and $d(t,v)$ the callable discounted value, respectively, and $L_t^v(v_t^*)$ the local time of V_t at the level v_t^* in the time interval $[0,t]$.

PROOF. Put $g(t,v) = e^{-rt}C(t,v)$ and applying the generalized Ito's lemma for convex functions [6]. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(T, V_T) &= g(t,v) + \int_t^T \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} dV_s + \int_t^T \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2 V_s^2 \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial v^2} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial s}\right) ds \\ &+ \int_t^T \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial v}(s, v_s^{*+}) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial v}(s, v_s^{*-})\right) dL_s^v(v_s^*). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Note from the free boundary conditions that

$$\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2 v^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial v^2} + (r-\delta) v \frac{\partial C}{\partial v} - rC + \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \begin{cases} 0, & (t,v) \in \mathcal{C} \\ -\delta z v, & (t,v) \in \mathcal{S}^i \cup \mathcal{S}^f. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-rT} C(T, V_T) &= e^{-rt} C(t,v) + \int_t^T e^{-rs} \kappa V_s \frac{\partial C}{\partial v} d\tilde{Z}_s - \int_t^T e^{-rs} \delta z V_s 1_{\{v_s^* \le V_s\}} ds \\ &+ \int_t^T e^{-rs} \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial v}(s, v_s^{*+}) - \frac{\partial C}{\partial v}(s, v_s^{*-})\right) dL_s^v(v_s^*). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by e^{rt} and taking the expectation conditioning on $V_t = v$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}[e^{-r(T-t)} C(T, V_T) \mid V_t = v] &= C(t,v) - \tilde{E}\left[\int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)} \delta z V_s 1_{\{v_s^* \le V_s\}} ds \;\Big|\; V_t = v\right] \\ &+ \tilde{E}\left[\int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)} \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial v}(s, v_s^{*+}) - \frac{\partial C}{\partial v}(s, v_s^{*-})\right) dL_s^v(v_s^*)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

which can be rewritten as in equation (20). □

Corollary 6. *The early conversion premium for the callable CB is less than or equal to the one for the non-callable CB, that is,* $p(t,v) \geq \overline{p}(t,v)$ *for all* t, v *and hence* $d(t,v) \geq 0$ *for all* t, v.

Proof. From definition of v_t^* and Theorem 3 (iii) we have $v_t^* \leq \overline{v}_t^i$ for all t. Then, from equation (17) and (21) we obtain $p(t,v) \geq \overline{p}(t,v)$ for all t, v because the conditional expectations should be taken over the time interval $[t,T]$. □

5 Numerical Examples

In this section we present the numerical valuation of the callable and non-callable CBs, and the optimal call and conversion boundaries through a simple finite element method. Table 1 shows the data we use to evaluate the values of the CB.

Table 1: Data

Face value F	100
Maturity T	3
Conversion ratio z	0.4
Interest rate r	0.05
Dividend rate δ	0.02
Volatility κ	0.3

Figure 1 illustrates the values of the callable and non-callable CB and the bond prices as functions of v. We may identify the relationship between the callable and non-callable CB given by equation (14). Figure 2 demonstrates the differences between the callable and non-callable CB prices which present the callable discounted values. Table 2 shows the values of the callable and non-callable bonds and the bond for the various v, T, z, r, δ, κ. Figure 3 shows the behavior of the optimal conversion and call boundaries for the non-callable and the callable CBs, respectively, for the different levels of t.



Figure 1: The value of CB



Figure 2: The value of the conversion option

Table 2: CB: callable convertible bond, $\overline{CB}$: non-callable convertible bind, B: bond

v	T	z	r	δ	κ	CB	$\overline{CB}$	B	$CB - B$	$\overline{CB} - B$	$\overline{CB} - CB$
50	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	45.1250	45.1250	45.1222	0.0028	0.0028	0.0000
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	71.6472	72.0784	71.3790	0.2683	0.6994	0.4311
150	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	82.9816	85.2760	80.9672	2.0144	4.3088	2.2944
200	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	90.8296	96.3348	84.2080	6.6216	12.1267	5.5052
250	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	100.0000	109.1865	85.3454	14.6546	23.8411	9.1865
300	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	120.0000	124.3606	85.7698	34.2302	38.5908	4.3606
100	1	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	85.0013	85.0081	84.9999	0.0015	0.0082	0.0067
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	71.6472	72.0784	71.3790	0.2683	0.6994	0.4311
100	5	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	62.7310	63.7709	61.5294	1.2016	2.2415	1.0399
100	7	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	56.2548	57.6278	53.5805	2.6743	4.0473	1.3730
100	10	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	49.3920	50.7265	43.9595	5.4325	6.7670	1.3345
100	3	0.2	0.05	0.02	0.3	71.3818	71.3818	71.3790	0.0028	0.0028	0.0000
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	71.6472	72.0784	71.3790	0.2683	0.6994	0.4311
100	3	0.6	0.05	0.02	0.3	73.7240	75.6884	71.3790	2.3450	4.3094	1.9644
100	3	0.8	0.05	0.02	0.3	81.8913	83.5720	71.3790	10.5123	12.1930	1.6807
100	3	1.0	0.05	0.02	0.3	100.0000	100.0000	71.3790	28.6210	28.6210	0.0000
100	3	0.4	0.01	0.02	0.3	76.0225	76.4018	75.9804	0.0421	0.4214	0.3793
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	71.6472	72.0784	71.3790	0.2683	0.6994	0.4311
100	3	0.4	0.1	0.02	0.3	65.8969	66.3694	65.1264	0.7705	1.2430	0.4726
100	3	0.4	0.2	0.02	0.3	54.8890	55.3233	52.0554	2.8336	3.2679	0.4343
100	3	0.4	0.3	0.02	0.3	46.5636	46.8285	39.9547	6.6089	6.8738	0.2648
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.01	0.3	72.5999	73.1061	72.3026	0.2973	0.8035	0.5062
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	71.6472	72.0784	71.3790	0.2683	0.6994	0.4311
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.03	0.3	70.6660	71.0328	70.4244	0.2416	0.6085	0.3669
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.04	0.3	69.6573	69.9691	69.4401	0.2172	0.5290	0.3118
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.05	0.3	68.6225	68.8870	68.4276	0.1949	0.4595	0.2645
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.1	83.0857	83.0857	83.0857	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.2	77.3445	77.3761	77.3195	0.0251	0.0567	0.0316
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.3	71.6472	72.0784	71.3790	0.2683	0.6994	0.4311
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.4	66.2945	67.6892	65.4951	0.7994	2.1941	1.3947
100	3	0.4	0.05	0.02	0.5	61.4190	64.0102	59.7349	1.6842	4.2753	2.5912



Figure 3: Optimal conversion and call boundaries of the CB

6 Summary and Concluding Remarks

In this paper we have studied the pricing of the callable convertible bonds and the optimal call and conversion policies of the callable CB by means of a coupled stopping game between the issuer and the investor. We have shown that the value of the callable CB can be decomposed into the sum of the bond price, the value of the European call and the difference between the early conversion premium and the callable discounted value. Furthermore, we have embodied the optimal conversion and call boundaries numerically computed by the finite differential method.

We assume that the bond has no coupon payment beside the stock pays a constant dividend. Further investigation is left for future research when the bond pays coupons to the bond holder because the bond usually pays coupons. It is interesting to investigate how the coupon payments affect the value and optimal boundaries of the callable CB. What happens to the value of the CB if the call time is restricted ? For example, the firm is allowed to call only in some certain time interval. Considering these questions leads more practical evaluation of existing financial commodities traded in the actual market.

References

[1] M. J. Brennan and E. S. Schwartz, Convertible bonds: Valuation and optimal strategies for call and conversion, *The Journal of Finance.* **32** (1977) 1699-1715.

[2] D. S. Bunch and H. E. Johnson, The American put option and its critical stock price, *Journal of Finance.* **55** (2000) 2333-2356.

[3] D. Epstein, R. Haber and P. Wilmott, Pricing and hedging convertible bonds under non-probabilistic interest rates, *Journal of Derivatives.* **7(4)** (2000) 31-40.

[4] D. Greiner, A. Kalay and H. K. Kato, The market for callable-convertible bonds: Evidence from Japan, *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal.* **10(1)** (2002) 1-27.

[5] J. E. Ingersoll, A contingent-claims valuation of convertible securities, *Journal of Financial Economics.* **4** (1977) 289-322.

[6] I. Karatzas and S. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Springer, New York, 1998.

[7] T. Kariya and H. Tsuda, CB - Time dependent Markov model for pricing convertible bonds, *Asian-Pacific Financial Markets.* **7(3)** (2000) 239-259.

[8] Y. Kifer, Game options, *Finance and Stochastics.* **4** (2000) 443-463.

[9] F. A. Longstaff and E. S. Schwartz, Valuing American options by simulation: A simple least-squares approach, *The Review of Financial Studies.* **14(1)** (2001) 113-147.

[10] J. J. McConnell and E. S. Schwartz, The origin of LYONs: A case study in financial innovation, *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance.* **4(4)** (1992) 40-47.

[11] R. Myneni, The pricing of the American option, *Annals of Applied Probability.* **2** (1992) 1-23.

[12] S. Seko and K. Sawaki, The valuation of callable contingent claims, Presented at the 3rd World Congress (2004), Bachelier Finance Society.

[13] K. Yagi and K. Sawaki, The valuation of callable convertible bonds, Submitted to *Journal of managerial Finance Society of Japan.* (in Japanese) Feb. 2004.