

Provability logic and Grzegorczyk logic

Katsumi Sasaki*

sasaki@ms.nanzan-u.ac.jp

Department of Mathematical Sciences,

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Information Engineering, Nanzan University

Abstract. Here we discuss the formulas having only one atomic formula \perp in provability logic **GL** and the formulas having only one atomic formula p in Grzegorczyk logic **Grz**. It was defined a function f satisfying, for any formula A , $f(A) \in \mathbf{GL}$ if and only if $A \in \mathbf{Grz}$ (cf. Boolos [Bool93] and Goldblatt [Gol78]). While we define a function g satisfying, for any formula A having only one atomic formula \perp , $A \in \mathbf{GL}$ if and only if $g(A) \in \mathbf{Grz}$.

1 Introduction

We use lower case Latin letters p, q, \dots for propositional variables. Formulas are defined inductively, as usual, from the propositional variables and \perp (contradiction) by using logical connectives \wedge (conjunction), \vee (disjunction), \supset (implication) and \Box (necessitation). We use upper case Latin letters A, B, \dots , possibly with suffixes, for formulas. We fix the enumeration **ENU** of formulas. For the finite non-empty set **S** of formulas, the expression

$$\bigwedge \mathbf{S}$$

denotes the formula

$$A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n,$$

where $\mathbf{S} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ and A_i occurs earlier than A_j in **ENU** if $i < j$. Also we put

$$\bigwedge \emptyset = \perp \supset \perp.$$

Definition 1.1. The depth $d(A)$ of a formula A is defined inductively as follows:

- (1) $d(D) = 0$, for an atomic formula D ,
- (2) $d(B \wedge C) = d(B \vee C) = d(B \supset C) = \max\{d(B), d(C)\}$,
- (3) $d(\Box B) = d(B) + 1$.

Let D be an atomic formula in $\{p, \perp\}$. By $\mathbf{S}(D)$, we mean the set of formulas constructed from D by using \wedge, \vee, \supset and \Box . We put $\mathbf{S}^n(D) = \{B \in \mathbf{S}(D) \mid d(B) \leq n\}$.

By **GL**, we mean the smallest set of formulas containing all the tautologies and the axioms

$K : \Box(A \supset B) \supset (\Box A \supset \Box B)$,

$L : \Box(\Box A \supset A) \supset \Box A$ (Löb's axiom),

and closed under modus ponens and necessitation. By **Grz**, we mean the smallest set of formulas containing all the tautologies, K and the axioms

$T : \Box A \supset A$,

$grz : \Box(\Box(A \supset \Box A) \supset A) \supset \Box A$ (Grzegorczyk axiom),

and closed under modus ponens and necessitation.

For the terminology concerning Kripke models, we follow Chagrov and Zakharyashev [CZ97].

Lemma 1.2. (cf. [CZ97])

*The author was supported by Nanzan University Pache Research Subsidy I-A-2.

- (1) $A \in \mathbf{GL}$ if and only if A is valid in the class of finite Kripke frames with strict partial orders.
- (2) $A \in \mathbf{Grz}$ if and only if A is valid in the class of finite Kripke frames with partial orders.

Sequent systems for **GL** and **Grz** were described several papers. For example, Avron [Avr84] gave both systems, which we define below. For the terminology concerning sequent systems, we follow [Sas01]. By **GGL**, we mean the system obtained by adding the inference rule

$$\frac{\square A, \Gamma, \square \Gamma \rightarrow A}{\square \Gamma \rightarrow \square A} (\rightarrow \square_{\mathbf{GL}})$$

to the system **LK** for the classical propositional logic. By **GGrz**, we mean the system obtained by adding the inference rules

$$\frac{\square(A \supset \square A), \square \Gamma \rightarrow A}{\square \Gamma \rightarrow \square A} (\rightarrow \square_{\mathbf{Grz}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{A, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\square A, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta} (\square \rightarrow)$$

to the system **LK**.

Lemma 1.3. (cf. [Avr84], Valentini [Val83])

- (1) $\wedge \Gamma \supset A \in \mathbf{GL}$ if and only if $\Gamma \rightarrow A \in \mathbf{GGL}$.
- (2) $\wedge \Gamma \supset A \in \mathbf{Grz}$ if and only if $\Gamma \rightarrow A \in \mathbf{GGrz}$.
- (3) **GGL** and **GGrz** enjoy cut-elimination theorem.

We can see similarity between **GL** and **Grz** in the Kripke semantics and sequent systems. We also note that

Lemma 1.4. For $k > 0, i > 0$, $\square^k \perp \rightarrow \square^{k+i} \perp$ is provable in **GGL** and **GGrz**.

Definition 1.5. A list F_0, F_1, \dots of formulas are defined inductively as follows:

- (1) $F_0 = p$,
- (2) $F_{k+1} = F_k \supset \square F_k$.

Definition 1.6. A list g_0, g_1, \dots of functions from $\mathbf{S}(\perp)$ to $\mathbf{S}(p)$ are defined inductively as follows:

- (1) $g_i(\perp) = \square F_i$,
- (2) $g_i(B \wedge C) = g_i(B) \wedge g_i(C)$,
- (3) $g_i(B \vee C) = g_i(B) \vee g_i(C)$,
- (4) $g_i(B \supset C) = g_i(B) \supset g_i(C)$,
- (5) $g_i(\square B) = \square g_{i+1}(B)$.

The function g_0 transforms the formula $\square(\square \perp \supset \perp) \supset \square \perp$, a instance of the axiom L , into a formula

$$g_0(\square(\square \perp \supset \perp) \supset \square \perp) = \square(\square(\square(F_1 \supset \square F_1) \supset \square F_1) \supset \square \square F_1).$$

Here we note that the image is similar to $\square(\square(F_1 \supset \square F_1) \supset F_1) \supset \square F_1$, an instance of the axiom grz , and that the image and the instance are equivalent in **Grz**.

The main result is

Theorem 1.7. For any formula $A \in \mathbf{S}(\perp)$, and for any i ,

$$A \in \mathbf{GL} \text{ if and only if } g_i(A) \in \mathbf{Grz}.$$

To prove the theorem, we use properties of the structures $\langle \mathbf{S}^n(p)/\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}}, \leq_{\mathbf{Grz}} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{S}^n(\perp)/\equiv_{\mathbf{GL}}, \leq_{\mathbf{GL}} \rangle$, where for $\mathbf{L} \in \{\mathbf{GL}, \mathbf{Grz}\}$,

- $A \equiv_{\mathbf{L}} B$ if and only if $(A \supset B) \wedge (B \supset A) \in \mathbf{L}$,
- $[A] \leq_{\mathbf{L}} [B]$ if and only if $B \supset A \in \mathbf{L}$.

In the next section, we construct a representative of each equivalent class of the above two structures following [Boo93] and [Sas04]. In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.7 using the lemmas in section 2.

2 Construction of representatives

Here we construct a representative of each equivalent class in the quotient sets $\mathbf{S}^n(\perp)/\equiv_{\mathbf{GL}}$ and $\mathbf{S}^n(p)/\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}}$. It is known, however, two structures $\langle \mathbf{S}^n(\perp)/\equiv_{\mathbf{GL}}, \leq_{\mathbf{GL}} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{S}^n(p)/\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}}, \leq_{\mathbf{Grz}} \rangle$ are boolean(cf. [CZ97]). So, we have only to construct representatives of generators of these two booleans. For representatives of generators of the structure for \mathbf{GL} , we can refer [Boo93], and representatives for \mathbf{Grz} was given in [Sas04].

Definition 2.1. For a formula A , $\square^n A$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) are defined inductively as follows:

- (1) $\square^0 A = A$,
- (2) $\square^{k+1} A = \square \square^k A$.

Definition 2.2. The sets \mathbf{G}_n ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) of formulas are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{G}_0 &= \{\perp\}, \\ \mathbf{G}_{k+1} &= \{\square^{k+1} \perp, \square^{k+1} \perp \supset \square^k \perp, \dots, \square \perp \supset \perp\}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3.

- (1) *None of the formulas in \mathbf{G}_n is provable in \mathbf{GL} .*
- (2) *For $k \leq n$, $\bigwedge \{\square^n \perp, \square^n \perp \supset \square^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \square^{k+1} \perp \supset \square^k \perp\} \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \square^k \perp$.*
- (3) *For any $A, B \in \mathbf{G}_n$, $A \neq B$ implies $A \vee B \in \mathbf{GL}$.*

Proof.

For (1): Let be that $M = \langle \{1, 2, \dots, k+2\}, <, \models \rangle$, where $<$ is the ordinary strict order. Then we have

$$(M, k+2) \not\models \square \perp \supset \perp, (M, k+1) \not\models \square^2 \perp \supset \square \perp, \dots, (M, 2) \not\models \square^{k+1} \perp \supset \square^k \perp, (M, 1) \not\models \square^{k+1} \perp.$$

Using Lemma 1.2, we obtain (1).

For (2): By Lemma 1.4, we have $\square^k \perp \rightarrow \bigwedge \{\square^n \perp, \square^n \perp \supset \square^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \square^{k+1} \perp \supset \square^k \perp\} \in \mathbf{GGL}$.

Using Lemma 1.3, we have $\square^k \perp \supset \bigwedge \{\square^n \perp, \square^n \perp \supset \square^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \square^{k+1} \perp \supset \square^k \perp\} \in \mathbf{GL}$.

We show $\bigwedge \{\square^n \perp, \square^n \perp \supset \square^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \square^{k+1} \perp \supset \square^k \perp\} \supset \square^k \perp \in \mathbf{GL}$ by an induction on n .

If $n = 0$, then the formula is a tautology.

Suppose that $n > 0$. If $k = n$, then the formula is also a tautology. So, we assume that $k \leq n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, $\bigwedge \{\square^{n-1} \perp, \square^{n-1} \perp \supset \square^{n-2} \perp, \dots, \square^{k+1} \perp \supset \square^k \perp\} \supset \square^k \perp \in \mathbf{GL}$. Using Lemma 1.3,

$$\square^{n-1} \perp, \square^{n-1} \perp \supset \square^{n-2} \perp, \dots, \square \perp \supset \perp \rightarrow \perp \in \mathbf{GGL}.$$

Using $\square^n \perp \rightarrow \square^n \perp \in \mathbf{GGL}$ and $(\supset \rightarrow)$, we have

$$\square^n \perp, \square^n \perp \supset \square^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \square \perp \supset \perp \rightarrow \perp \in \mathbf{GGL}.$$

Using Lemma 1.3, the formula is provable in \mathbf{GL} .

For (3): By Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4. ⊣

By Lemma 2.3(3), we have

Corollary 2.4. *For any $A, B \in \mathbf{G}_n$, $A \neq B$ implies $B \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} A \supset B$.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 be subsets of \mathbf{G}_n . Then*

- (1) $(\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1) \wedge (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge (\mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2)$,
- (2) $(\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1) \vee (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge (\mathbf{S}_1 \cap \mathbf{S}_2)$,
- (3) $(\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1) \supset (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge (\mathbf{S}_2 - \mathbf{S}_1)$,
- (4) *if $\mathbf{S}_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $\square(\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \square^k \perp$, where $k = \min(\{n+1 \mid \square^n \perp \in \mathbf{S}_1\} \cup \{i+1 \mid \square^{i+1} \perp \supset \square^i \perp \in \mathbf{S}_1\})$.*

Proof. (1) is from associative law and commutative law of \wedge . For (2) and (3), we use Lemma 2.3(3) and Corollary 2.4, respectively. (4) was shown in [Boo93]. \dashv

Lemma 2.6. *Let A be a formula in $\mathbf{S}^n(\perp)$. Then there exists a subset \mathbf{S} of \mathbf{G}_n such that $A \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$.*

Proof. We use an induction on A . If $A = \perp$, then by Lemma 2.3(2),

$$\bigwedge \mathbf{G}_n = \bigwedge \{\square^n \perp, \square^n \perp \supset \square^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \square \perp \supset \perp\} \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \perp = A.$$

If $A \neq \perp$, then by the induction hypothesis, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.3(2), we obtain the lemma. \dashv

Lemma 2.7.

$$(1) \mathbf{S}^n(\perp)/\equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} = \{[\bigwedge \mathbf{S}] \mid \mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{G}_n^*\}.$$

(2) For subsets \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 of \mathbf{G}_n^* ,

$$(2.1) \mathbf{S}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{S}_2 \text{ if and only if } [\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1] \leq_{\mathbf{GL}} [\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2],$$

$$(2.2) \mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{S}_2 \text{ if and only if } [\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1] = [\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2].$$

Proof. (1) is from Lemma 2.6. We obtain (2.2) as a corollary of (2.1). The “only if” part of (1.1) is clear. We show the “if part” of (1.1). Suppose that $[\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1] \leq_{\mathbf{GL}} [\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2]$ and $\mathbf{S}_1 \not\subseteq \mathbf{S}_2$. By $\mathbf{S}_1 \not\subseteq \mathbf{S}_2$, there exists a formula A in $\mathbf{S}_1 - \mathbf{S}_2$. Using $[\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1] \leq_{\mathbf{GL}} [\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2]$, we have $\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2 \supset A \in \mathbf{GL}$. Since $A \notin \mathbf{S}_2$, using Corollary 2.4, we have $\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2 \supset A \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} A$, and so, we have $A \in \mathbf{GL}$. This is in contradiction with Lemma 2.3(1). \dashv

Definition 2.8. The sets \mathbf{G}_n^* ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) of formulas are defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{G}_0^* = \{F_0\},$$

$$\mathbf{G}_1^* = \{F_0, F_1\},$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{k+2}^* = \{F_{k+1}, F_{k+2}, \square F_{k+1} \supset \square F_k, \dots, \square F_1 \supset \square F_0\}$$

The following three lemmas were shown in [Sas04].

Lemma 2.9.

$$(1) F_k \wedge F_{k+1} \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \square F_k.$$

$$(2) \text{For } k < n \neq 0, \bigwedge \{F_n, F_{n-1}, \square F_{n-1} \supset \square F_{n-2}, \dots, \square F_{k+1} \perp \supset \square F_k\} \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \square F_k.$$

Lemma 2.10. *Let \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 be subsets of \mathbf{G}_n^* . Then*

$$(1) (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1) \wedge (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge (\mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2),$$

$$(2) (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1) \vee (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge (\mathbf{S}_1 \cap \mathbf{S}_2),$$

$$(3) (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1) \supset (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge (\mathbf{S}_2 - \mathbf{S}_1),$$

$$(4) \text{if } \mathbf{S}_1 \neq \emptyset, \text{then } \square (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \square F_k, \text{ where } k = \min(\{i \mid F_i \in \mathbf{S}_1\} \cup \{i \mid \square F_{i+1} \supset \square F_i \in \mathbf{S}_1\}).$$

Lemma 2.11.

$$(1) \mathbf{S}^n(p)/\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} = \{[\bigwedge \mathbf{S}] \mid \mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{G}_n^*\}.$$

(2) For subsets \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 of \mathbf{G}_n^* ,

$$(2.1) \mathbf{S}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{S}_2 \text{ if and only if } [\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1] \leq_{\mathbf{Grz}} [\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2],$$

$$(2.2) \mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{S}_2 \text{ if and only if } [\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1] = [\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2].$$

3 Proof of the theorem

Here we give a proof of Theorem 1.7. We define a function h and show three lemmas. We put $g_i(\mathbf{S}) = \{g_i(A) \mid A \in \mathbf{S}\}$.

Definition 3.1. For a subset \mathbf{S} of \mathbf{G}_n , we put

$$h_i(\mathbf{S}) = \{F_{n+i} \mid \square^n \perp \in \mathbf{S}\} \cup \{F_{n+i+1} \mid \square^n \perp \in \mathbf{S}\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{\square F_{k+i} \supset \square F_{k+i-1} \mid \square^k \perp \supset \square^{k-1} \perp \in \mathbf{S}\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. Let \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{S}_1 be subsets of \mathbf{G}_n . Then for any i ,

- (1) $h_i(\mathbf{S}) \subseteq \mathbf{G}_{n+i+1}^*$,
- (2) $\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S})$,
- (3) $\mathbf{S} \neq \mathbf{S}_1$ implies $\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}) \not\equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1)$.

Proof. (1) is clear from the definition. (2) is from Lemma 2.9(1). (3) is from (1) and Lemma 2.11(2.2). \dashv

Lemma 3.3. Let \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 be subsets of \mathbf{G}_n . Then for any i ,

- (1) $(\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1)) \wedge (\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_2)) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2)$,
- (2) $(\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1)) \vee (\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_2)) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1 \cap \mathbf{S}_2)$,
- (3) $(\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1)) \supset (\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_2)) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_2 - \mathbf{S}_1)$,
- (4) if $\mathbf{S}_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $\square(\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1)) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \square F_k$, where $k = \min(\{n+i \mid \square^n \perp \in \mathbf{S}_1\} \cup \{j+i \mid \square^{j+1} \perp \supset \square^j \perp \in \mathbf{S}_1\})$.

Proof. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(\mathbf{S}_1) \cup h_i(\mathbf{S}_2) &= h_i(\mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2), \\ h_i(\mathbf{S}_1) \cap h_i(\mathbf{S}_2) &= h_i(\mathbf{S}_1 \cap \mathbf{S}_2), \\ h_i(\mathbf{S}_2) - h_i(\mathbf{S}_1) &= h_i(\mathbf{S}_2 - \mathbf{S}_1). \end{aligned}$$

So, using Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 3.2(1) we obtain (1), (2) and (3).

For (4). By Lemma 2.10(4),

$$\square(\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1)) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \square F_k,$$

where $k = \min(\{j \mid F_j \in h_i(\mathbf{S}_1)\} \cup \{j \mid \square F_{j+1} \supset \square F_j \in h_i(\mathbf{S}_1)\})$. So,

$$k = \min(\{n+i \mid \square^n \perp \in \mathbf{S}_1\} \cup \{j+i \mid \square^{j+1} \perp \supset \square^j \perp \in \mathbf{S}_1\}).$$

Lemma 3.4. Let A be a formula in $\mathbf{S}^n(\perp)$ and let \mathbf{S} be a subset of \mathbf{G}_n . Then for any i ,

$$A \equiv_{\text{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S} \text{ if and only if } g_i(A) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}).$$

Proof. We use an induction on A .

Basis($A = \perp$): By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$A = \perp \equiv_{\text{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{G}_n.$$

By Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 2.9(2), we have

$$\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{G}_n) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{G}_n) = \bigwedge \mathbf{G}_{n+i+1}^* \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \square F_i = g_i(\perp) = g_i(A).$$

So, if $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{G}_n^*$, then we have both of $A \equiv_{\text{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$ and $g_i(A) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$. If not, then by Lemma 2.7(2.2), $A \not\equiv_{\text{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$, and by Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.2(3),

$$\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}) \not\equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{G}_n) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} g_i(A),$$

and so, $g_i(A) \not\equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$.

Induction step($A \neq \perp$): We divide the cases.

The case that $A = A_1 \wedge A_2$: We note $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbf{S}^n(\perp)$. So, by Lemma 2.5, there exist subsets $\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2$ of \mathbf{G}_n such that

$$A_1 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1, \quad A_2 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2.$$

Using the induction hypothesis,

$$g_i(A_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_1), \quad g_i(A_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_2).$$

By Lemma 2.5(1),

$$A = A_1 \wedge A_2 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1) \wedge (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge (\mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2).$$

Also by Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.3(1),

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(A) &= g_i(A_1) \wedge g_i(A_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} (\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_1)) \wedge (\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_2)) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} (\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1)) \wedge (\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_2)) \\ &\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2). \end{aligned}$$

So, if $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2$, then we have both of $A \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$ and $g_i(A) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$. If not, then by Lemma 2.7(2.2), $A \not\equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$, and by Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.2(3),

$$\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}) \not\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} g_i(A),$$

and so, $g_i(A) \not\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$.

The case that $A = A_1 \vee A_2$: Similarly to the above case, there exist subsets $\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2$ of \mathbf{G}_n such that

$$A_1 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1, \quad A_2 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2, \quad g_i(A_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_1), \quad g_i(A_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_2).$$

By Lemma 2.5(2),

$$A = A_1 \vee A_2 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1) \vee (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge (\mathbf{S}_1 \cap \mathbf{S}_2).$$

Also by Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.3(2),

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(A) &= g_i(A_1) \vee g_i(A_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} (\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_1)) \vee (\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_2)) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} (\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1)) \vee (\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_2)) \\ &\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1 \cap \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_1 \cap \mathbf{S}_2). \end{aligned}$$

So, if $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_1 \cap \mathbf{S}_2$, then we have both of $A \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$ and $g_i(A) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$. If not, then by Lemma 2.7(2.2), $A \not\equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$, and by Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.2(3),

$$\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}) \not\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1 \cap \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} g_i(A),$$

and so, $g_i(A) \not\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$.

The case that $A = A_1 \supset A_2$: Similarly to the above cases, there exist subsets $\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2$ of \mathbf{G}_n such that

$$A_1 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1, \quad A_2 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2, \quad g_i(A_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_1), \quad g_i(A_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_2).$$

By Lemma 2.5(3),

$$A = A_1 \supset A_2 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1) \supset (\bigwedge \mathbf{S}_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge (\mathbf{S}_2 - \mathbf{S}_1).$$

Also by Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.3(3),

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(A) &= g_i(A_1) \supset g_i(A_2) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} (\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_1)) \supset (\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_2)) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} (\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_1)) \supset (\bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_2)) \\ &\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_2 - \mathbf{S}_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}_2 - \mathbf{S}_1). \end{aligned}$$

So, if $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_2 - \mathbf{S}_1$, then we have both of $A \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$ and $g_i(A) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$. If not, then by Lemma 2.7(2.2), $A \not\equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$, and by Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.2(3),

$$\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}) \not\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}_2 - \mathbf{S}_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} g_i(A),$$

and so, $g_i(A) \not\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$.

The case that $A = \square A_1$: Similarly to the above cases, there exists a subset \mathbf{S}_1 of \mathbf{G}_{n-1} such that for any k ,

$$A_1 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1, \quad g_k(A_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_k(\mathbf{S}_1).$$

If $\mathbf{S}_1 = \emptyset$, then we have

$$A = \square A_1 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \square \bigwedge \emptyset \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \square(\perp \supset \perp) \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \perp \supset \perp \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \emptyset$$

and

$$g_i(A) = g_i(\square A_1) = \square g_{i+1}(A_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \square \bigwedge g_{i+1}(\emptyset) = \square \bigwedge \emptyset \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge \emptyset \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\emptyset) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\emptyset)$$

So, if $\mathbf{S} = \emptyset$, then we have both of $A \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$ and $g_i(A) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$. If not, then by Lemma 2.7(2.2), $A \not\equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$, and by Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.2(3),

$$\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}) \not\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\emptyset) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} g_i(A),$$

and so, $g_i(A) \not\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$.

If $\mathbf{S}_1 = \{\square^{n-1} \perp\}$, then we have

$$A = \square A_1 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \square \bigwedge \{\square^{n-1} \perp\} \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \{\square^n \perp\}$$

and

$$g_i(A) = g_i(\square A_1) = \square g_{i+1}(A_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \square \bigwedge g_{i+1}(\{\square^{n-1} \perp\})$$

$$\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \square g_{i+1}(\square^{n-1} \perp) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} g_i(\square^n \perp) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\{\square^n \perp\}) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\{\square^n \perp\})$$

So, if $\mathbf{S} = \{\square^n \perp\}$, then we have both of $A \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$ and $g_i(A) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$. If not, then by Lemma 2.7(2.2), $A \not\equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$, and by Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.2(3),

$$\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}) \not\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\{\square^n \perp\}) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} g_i(A),$$

and so, $g_i(A) \not\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{S}_1 \not\subseteq \{\emptyset, \{\square^{n-1} \perp\}\} = \mathcal{P}(\{\square^{n-1} \perp\})$. Then we have $\mathbf{S}_1 \not\subseteq \{\square^{n-1} \perp\}$. Since $\mathbf{S}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{G}_{n-1}$, we have $\emptyset \neq \mathbf{S}_1 - \{\square^{n-1} \perp\} \subseteq \{\square^{n-1} \perp \supset \square^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \square \perp \supset \perp\}$. So, there exists the minimum k of $\{\ell \mid \square^\ell \perp \supset \square^{\ell-1} \in \mathbf{S}_1\}$. By Lemma 2.5(4) and Lemma 2.3(2),

$$A = \square A_1 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \square \bigwedge \mathbf{S}_1 \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \square^k \perp \equiv_{\mathbf{GL}} \bigwedge \{\square^n \perp, \square^n \perp \supset \square^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \square^{k+1} \perp \supset \square^k \perp\}.$$

Also by Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.3(4),

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(A) &= g_i(\square A_1) = \square g_{i+1}(A_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \square \bigwedge g_{i+1}(\mathbf{S}_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \square \bigwedge h_{i+1}(\mathbf{S}_1) \equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \square F_{k+i} \\ &\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge \{F_{n+i+1}, F_{n+i}, \square F_{n+i} \supset \square F_{n+i-1}, \dots, \square F_{k+i+1} \supset \square F_{k+i}\} \\ &\equiv_{\mathbf{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\{\square^n \perp, \square^n \perp \supset \square^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \square^{k+1} \perp \supset \square^k \perp\}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\{\Box^n \perp, \Box^n \perp \supset \Box^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \Box^{k+1} \perp \supset \Box^k \perp\})$$

So, if $\mathbf{S} = \{\Box^n \perp, \Box^n \perp \supset \Box^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \Box^{k+1} \perp \supset \Box^k \perp\}$, then we have both of $A \equiv_{\text{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$ and $g_i(A) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$. If not, then by Lemma 2.7(2.2), $A \not\equiv_{\text{GL}} \bigwedge \mathbf{S}$, and by Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.2(3),

$$\bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S}) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\mathbf{S}) \not\equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge h_i(\{\Box^n \perp, \Box^n \perp \supset \Box^{n-1} \perp, \dots, \Box^{k+1} \perp \supset \Box^k \perp\}) \equiv_{\text{Grz}} g_i(A),$$

and so, $g_i(A) \not\equiv_{\text{Grz}} \bigwedge g_i(\mathbf{S})$. \dashv

Considering the case that $\mathbf{S} = \emptyset$ in Lemma 3.4, we obtain Theorem 1.7.

References

- [Avr84] A. Avron, *On modal systems having arithmetical interpretations*, The Journal of Symbolic Logic, 49, 1984, pp. 935–942.
- [Boo93] G. Boolos, *The logic of provability*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [CZ97] A. Chagrov and M. Zakharyashev, *Modal Logic*, Oxford University Press, 1997.
- [Gol78] R. Goldblatt, *Arithmetical necessity, provability and intuitionistic logic*, Theoria 44, pp. 38–46, 1978.
- [Sas01] K. Sasaki, *Logics and Provability*, ILLC Dissertation Series DS-2001-07, Institute for Logic, Language and Computation, University of Amsterdam, 2001.
- [Sas04] K. Sasaki, *Formulas with only one variable in Grzegorczyk logic*, Journal of the Nanzan Academic Society Mathematical Sciences and Information Engineering, 4, Nanzan University, pp. 57–69, 2004.
- [Val83] S. Valentini, *The modal logic of provability: cut-elimination*, Journal of Philosophical logic, 12, 1983, pp. 471–476.