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Abstract

In this study, a controller which has high applicability to the actual situation of industry is proposed by discussing about robust control of belt-drive system. First, the control target is represented by mathematical model. Second, the variation and uncertainty of the system are represented by polytope. Third, the controller which guarantees robust stability is designed by using LMI solution to the optimal regulator problem. Fourth, the robustness of the controller is verified by conducting simulation. Finally, experiment is conducted. In the experiment, the robustness and superiority of proposed method is established by comparing with a popular method.

1 Introduction

Power train means the mechanical device which conveys rotary power by using belt, chain, gear and so on. It is applied in many sectors of industry, for example, the timing belt of car engine, the belt conveyer of factory and so on. Therefore, to regulate the position or velocity conveyed by power train accurately is the exceedingly important problem for industrial application. However, in most cases of industrial application, the control target has some undesirable elements for designing the controller. For example, influence of disturbances, wear of parts, uncertain parameter and so on. Various methods for the motion control were proposed by researchers. For example, proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control is chosen in most industrial applications. However, it has vulnerability to the variation of system. Therefore, various methods for the robust stability were proposed. However, most of them are based on the complicated theory. Then, this study propose the method which has robustness, and designing that is comparatively easy.

2 Modeling

2.1 Control Target

The structure of the experimental device used in this study is shown in Fig. 1. Further, Table 1 shows physical constants and variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( r_d )</td>
<td>Radius of Drive Disc gear [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{pd} )</td>
<td>Radius of Idler Pulleys gear (connected to Drive Disc) [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{pl} )</td>
<td>Radius of Idler Pulleys gear (connected to Load Disc) [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_l )</td>
<td>Radius of Load Disc gear [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_d )</td>
<td>Angle of Drive Disc [rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_p )</td>
<td>Angle of Idler Pulleys [rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_l )</td>
<td>Angle of Load Disc [rad]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_d )</td>
<td>Inertia of Drive Disc [kg( \cdot )m(^2)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_p )</td>
<td>Inertia of Idler Pulleys [kg( \cdot )m(^2)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_l )</td>
<td>Inertia of Load Disc [kg( \cdot )m(^2)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau )</td>
<td>Torque acting on Drive Disc [Nm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_d )</td>
<td>Friction coefficient of Drive Disc [Nm/(rad/s)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_l )</td>
<td>Friction coefficient of Load Disc [Nm/(rad/s)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Structure of the Experimental Device

It consists of three discs and two belts mainly. The discs are called Drive Disc, Idler Pulleys and Load Disc respectively. A motor connected to the Drive Disc gives input torque to the system. Then, the Idler Pulleys and the belts transmit the power to the Load Disc. By putting some weights on the Drive Disc and the Load Disc, their inertias \( J_d \) and \( J_l \) are changed. In other words, we can verify the effectiveness of a controller with various cases. The subject of this study is to control the Load Disc angle \( \theta_l(t) \) by the input torque \( \tau(t) \) acting on the Drive Disc.

2.2 Dynamical Equation

We treat three angles \( \theta_d, \theta_p \) and \( \theta_l \) here. Let us derive three dynamical equations corresponding to each of the angles. First, let us focus on the Drive Disc.

Figure 2 Enlarged Figure of the Drive Disc

Here, \( F_1(t) \) and \( F_2(t) \) are tension of the belt between the Drive Disc and the Idler Pulleys, and \( c_d \) is the friction coefficient of the Drive Disc. From Fig. 2, the dynamical equation of the Drive Disc is derived as Eq.(1).

\[
J_d \ddot{\theta}_d(t) = \tau(t) + \{ F_1(t) - F_2(t) \} r_d - c_d \dot{\theta}_d(t)
\]  

(1)

Second, let us focus on the Idler Pulleys.

Figure 2 Enlarged Figure of the Drive Disc

Here, \( F_1(t) \) and \( F_2(t) \) are tension of the belt between the Drive Disc and the Idler Pulleys, and \( c_d \) is the friction coefficient of the Drive Disc. From Fig. 2, the dynamical equation of the Drive Disc is derived as Eq.(1).
Here, $F_3(t)$, $F_4(t)$ are tension of the belt between the Idler Pulleys and the Load Disc. From Fig. 3, the dynamical equation of the Idler Pulleys is derived as Eq.(2).

$$J_p\ddot{\theta}_p(t) = \{F_2(t) - F_1(t)\}r_{pd} + \{F_4(t) - F_3(t)\}r_{pl} \quad (2)$$

Finally, let us focus on the Load Disc.

$$J_l\ddot{\theta}_l(t) = \{F_3(t) - F_4(t)\}r_l - c_l\dot{\theta}_l(t) \quad (3)$$

2.3 Spring Constant of the Belt

We obtain the following equation by transforming Eq.(2).

$$\{F_1(t) - F_2(t)\} = \frac{1}{r_{pd}} \left[ \{F_3(t) - F_4(t)\}r_{pl} - J_p\ddot{\theta}_p(t) \right] \quad (4)$$

By substituting this equation into Eq.(1), we obtain the following equation.

$$J_d\ddot{\theta}_d(t) = \tau(t) - c_d\dot{\theta}_d(t) + \frac{r_d}{r_{pd}} \left[ \{F_3(t) - F_4(t)\}r_{pl} - J_p\ddot{\theta}_p(t) \right] \quad (5)$$

By regarding the belt between the Idler Pulleys and Load Disc as the spring whose spring constant is $k_{pl}$ as shown in Fig. 5, we obtain Eq.(6), (7). Here, $F_0$ is the initial tension of the belt.

$$F_3(t) = F_0 + k_{pl}\{r_{pl}\dot{\theta}_p(t) - r_l\dot{\theta}_l(t)\} \quad (6)$$

$$F_4(t) = F_0 - k_{pl}\{r_{pl}\dot{\theta}_p(t) - r_l\dot{\theta}_l(t)\} \quad (7)$$

The following equations are obtained by substituting Eq.(6), eq.(4) into Eq.(5), (3) respectively.

$$J_d\ddot{\theta}_d(t) = \tau(t) - c_d\dot{\theta}_d(t) + \frac{r_d}{r_{pd}} \left[ -2k_{pl}\{r_{pl}\dot{\theta}_p(t) - r_l\dot{\theta}_l(t)\}r_{pl} - J_p\ddot{\theta}_p(t) \right] \quad (8)$$

$$J_l\ddot{\theta}_l(t) = 2k_{pl}\{r_{pl}\dot{\theta}_p(t) - r_l\dot{\theta}_l(t)\}r_l - c_l\dot{\theta}_l(t) \quad (9)$$

Now, let us define a constant $k$ as follows for simplicity.

$$k \triangleq 2k_{pl}r_l^2 \quad (10)$$

The following equations are obtained by applying $k$ to Eq.(8) and Eq.(9).

$$J_d\ddot{\theta}_d(t) = \tau(t) - c_d\dot{\theta}_d(t) + \frac{r_d}{r_{pd}} \left\{ \frac{r_{pl}^2}{r_l^2}k\dot{\theta}_p(t) + \frac{r_{pl}}{r_l}k\theta_l(t) - J_p\ddot{\theta}_p(t) \right\} \quad (11)$$

$$J_l\ddot{\theta}_l(t) = \frac{r_{pl}}{r_l}k\dot{\theta}_p(t) - k\theta_l(t) - c_l\dot{\theta}_l(t) \quad (12)$$

2.4 Gear Ratio

Now let $g^\prime_r$ be the gear ratio of the Drive Disc and the Idler Pulleys as shown in Eq.(13). Furthermore, let $g_r$ be the gear ratio of the Drive Disc and Load Disc as shown in Eq.(14).

$$g_r \triangleq \frac{r_{pl}}{r_d} \quad (13)$$

$$g_r = g^\prime_r \cdot \frac{r_l}{r_{pl}} = \frac{r_{pl}}{r_d} \cdot \frac{r_l}{r_{pl}} \quad (14)$$

Then, the following equations hold as a fundamental property of the gear mechanism for power transmission.

$$\dot{\theta}_d(t) = g_r\dot{\theta}_p(t) , \quad \dot{\theta}_d(t) = g_r\dot{\theta}_p(t) , \quad \dot{\theta}_d(t) = g_r\dot{\theta}_p(t) \quad (15)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_d(t) = g_r\dot{\theta}_l(t) , \quad \dot{\theta}_d(t) = g_r\dot{\theta}_l(t) , \quad \dot{\theta}_d(t) = g_r\dot{\theta}_l(t) \quad (16)$$

The following equations are obtained by applying $g^\prime_r$ and $g_r$ to Eq.(11) and Eq.(12).

$$\tau(t) = J_d\ddot{\theta}_d(t) + g_r^{-2}J_p\ddot{\theta}_p(t) + c_d\dot{\theta}_d(t) + g_r^{-2}k\theta_d(t) - g_r^{-1}k\theta_l(t) \quad (17)$$

$$0 = J_l\ddot{\theta}_l(t) + c_l\dot{\theta}_l(t) + k\theta_l(t) - g_r^{-1}k\theta_d(t) \quad (18)$$
Now let us define a constant $J^*_d$ as follows.

$$J^*_d \triangleq J_d + g_r^{-2} J_p$$ (19)

The following equation is obtained by applying $J^*_d$ to Eq.(17).

$$\tau(t) = J^*_d \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \theta_d(t) + c_d \frac{d}{dt} \theta_d(t) + g_r^{-2} k \theta_d(t) - g_r^{-1} k \theta_l(t)$$ (20)

### 2.5 State Space Representation

The following equations are obtained by representing Eq.(18) and Eq.(20) by matrices.

\[
T = D \begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\theta}_d(t) \\
\dot{\theta}_l(t)
\end{bmatrix} + E \begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\theta}_d(t) \\
\dot{\theta}_l(t)
\end{bmatrix} + F \begin{bmatrix}
\theta_d(t) \\
\theta_l(t)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
\tau(t) \\
0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix}
J^*_d & 0 \\
0 & J_l
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
E = \begin{bmatrix}
c_d & 0 & 0 \\
0 & c_l & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{bmatrix}
k g_r^{-2} & -k g_r^{-1} & -k g_r^{-1} \\
0 & \frac{1}{k} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The state space representation of the control target is obtained from Eq.(21) as follows.[1]

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) & = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\
y(t) & = Cx(t)
\end{align*}
\] (22)

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{k g_r^{-2}}{c_d} & 0 & \frac{k g_r^{-1}}{J_d} & 0 \\
n \frac{k g_r^{-1}}{J_l} & 0 & n \frac{k g_r^{-1}}{c_l} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\] (23)

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]
\] (24)

\[
x(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
\theta_d(t) \\
\hat{\theta}_d(t) \\
\theta_l(t) \\
\hat{\theta}_l(t)
\end{bmatrix}, \quad u(t) = \tau(t)
\] (25)

### 3 Control Design

In this section, we design a controller which guarantees robust stability to variation of the inertias $J_d$, $J_l$ and uncertainty of the friction coefficients $c_d$, $c_l$.

#### 3.1 Polytopic Representation

The ranges of the variation parameters $J_d$, $J_l$ are as shown in Eq.(26), (27). On the other hand, let us define the range of the uncertain parameters $c_d$, $c_l$ as Eq.(28), (29).

\[
J_d \in [J^*_{d,min}, J^*_{d,max}] = [4.2 \times 10^{-4}, 5.5 \times 10^{-3}]
\] (26)

\[
J_l \in [J^*_{l,min}, J^*_{l,max}] = [8.3 \times 10^{-3}, 2.8 \times 10^{-2}]
\] (27)

\[
c_d \in [c^*_{d,min}, c^*_{d,max}] = [2.8 \times 10^{-3}, 5.7 \times 10^{-3}]
\] (28)

\[
c_l \in [c^*_{l,min}, c^*_{l,max}] = [3.8 \times 10^{-2}, 6.8 \times 10^{-2}]
\] (29)

Then, Based on these ranges, let us define the vertexes of system matrices $A$ and $B$ as follows.

\[
A_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, 16) \ , \ B_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, 16)
\]

In Eq.(22), all of terms including the varying parameters $J^*_d$, $J^*_l$ and uncertain parameters $c_d$, $c_l$ are multiaffine for them. Therefore, if the stability at the vertexes shown in Eq.(30) is guaranteed, the robust stability to $J^*_d$, $J^*_l$, $c_d$ and $c_l$ is guaranteed among the ranges sown in Eq.(26) to (29).

#### 3.2 LQ Optimal Control

Let us define $u(t)$ as the state feedback controller. Let $Q$ be a weight matrix to state variable, and $R$ a weight to input. Then, let us minimize the cost function $J$ defined as following equation.

\[
J = \int_0^\infty \{x(t)^T Q x(t) + u(t)^T R u(t)\} dt
\]

Here, by using a feedback gain given as $K = Y X^{-1}$, let us define $u(t) = K x(t)$. Then, the system is stabilized by $u(t)$ if there exist $X$ and $Y$ satisfying following equations.[2]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
H e[A X + B_i Y] & X^T (Q^* Z)^T Y^T R Y \nu \\
0 & -I & O & -R
\end{bmatrix} < 0
\]

\[
(i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, 16)
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
Z & I & X
\end{bmatrix} = 0 \ , \ trace[Z] < \gamma
\] (32)

Here, $X$, $Y$ are defined as $X \triangleq P^{-1}$, $Y \triangleq K X$. When we define the weights for state variable and input as Eq.(33), the feedback gain $K$ is obtained as Eq.(34).

\[
Q = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 900 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad R = 1800
\] (33)

\[
K_{opt} = \begin{bmatrix}
1.8324 & 0.1696 & 6.4070 & 0.4093
\end{bmatrix}
\] (34)

### 4 Simulation

In this section, the simulation is conducted with the proposed method. Here, the reference of the Load Disc and Load Disc have no weights, and the value of uncertain parameters $c_d$, $c_l$ is the middle point of their assumed range. The simulation results are shown by Fig. 6, 7.
This section shows the typical results, however that is not all. The simulation is conducted in all endpoints of the variation range which is defined as Eq.(26) to Eq.(29). Then, the controller makes Load Disc angle to follow the reference in all cases correctly. Thus the robustness of the controller is verified with simulation.

5 Experiment

By changing the set up of experimental device variously, the robustness of the controller is established with various cases. Furthermore, by comparing the propose method and nominal LQ, the superiority of the proposed method is proved. Table 3 shows conditions of the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drive Disc weight</th>
<th>Load Disc weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experiment results are shown by Fig. 8 to 11.

In some cases, the nominal LQ controller makes overshoot or oscillation. By contrast, the proposed method does not make them in all cases. Thus the robustness and the superiority of the controller is established with the experiment.

6 Conclusion

In this study, robust LQ controller which guarantees robust stability to variation and uncertainty is designed by using polytopic representation and LMI solution to the optimal regulator problem. Further, the robustness and superiority of the proposed method is verified by simulation and experiment.
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